arXiv:1112.2968v3 [math.AP] 12 Apr 2012

On the Γ-limit of singular perturbation problems with optimal profiles which are not one-dimensional. Part II: The lower bound

April 16, 2012

Arkady Poliakovsky [1]

Università di Roma 'Tor Vergata', Dipartimento di Matematica,
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 1, 00133 Rome, Italy

Abstract

1 Introduction

The asymptotic behavior, when $\varepsilon \to 0$ of the family $\{I_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ of the functionals $I_\varepsilon(\phi) : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \cup \{+\infty\}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a given metric space, is partially described by the De Giorgi's Γ-limit, i.e.

$$I_0(\phi) := \inf_{\{\varepsilon\},\{\phi_\varepsilon\}} \left\{ \varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon) : \phi_\varepsilon \to \phi \text{ in } \mathcal{T} \right\} .$$

It is well known that if $\bar\phi_\varepsilon$ are minimizers for I_ε and if $\bar\phi_\varepsilon \to \bar\phi$ in $\mathcal{T}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ then ϕ is a minimizer of I_0. Usually, for finding the Γ-limit of $I_\varepsilon(\phi)$, we need to find two bounds.

(*) Firstly, we wish to find a lower bound, i.e. the functional $\underline{I}(\phi)$ such that for every family $\{\phi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, satisfying $\phi_\varepsilon \to \phi$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, we have $\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon) \geq \underline{I}(\phi)$.

(**) Secondly, we wish to find an upper bound, i.e. the functional $\overline{I}(\phi)$ such that there exists the family $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, satisfying $\psi_\varepsilon \to \phi$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, and we have $\varlimsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\psi_\varepsilon) \leq \overline{I}(\phi)$.

(***) If we obtain $\underline{I}(\phi) = \overline{I}(\phi) := I(\phi)$, then $I(\phi)$ will be the Γ-limit of $I_\varepsilon(\phi)$.

In various applications we deal with the asymptotic behavior as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ of a family of functionals $\{I_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ of the following forms.

- In the case of the first order problem the functional I_ε, which acts on functions $\psi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$, has the form

$$I_\varepsilon(\psi) = \int_\Omega \varepsilon \big|\nabla\psi(x)\big|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\Big(\psi(x), x\Big) dx \,, \tag{1.1}$$

---

[1] E-mail: poliakov@mat.uniroma2.it

or more generally

$$I_\varepsilon(\psi) = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\varepsilon} G\Big(\varepsilon^n \nabla\psi^n, \ldots, \varepsilon\nabla\psi, \psi, x\Big)dx + \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\big(\psi, x\big)dx\,, \tag{1.2}$$

where $G(0, \ldots, 0, \psi, x) \equiv 0$.

- In the case of the second order problem the functional I_ε, which acts on functions $v : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^k$, has the form

$$I_\varepsilon(v) = \int_\Omega \varepsilon\big|\nabla^2 v(x)\big|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\Big(\nabla v(x), v(x), x\Big)dx\,, \tag{1.3}$$

or more generally

$$I_\varepsilon(v) = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\varepsilon} G\Big(\varepsilon^n \nabla^{n+1} v, \ldots, \varepsilon\nabla^2 v, \nabla v, v, x\Big)dx + \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\big(\nabla v, v, x\big)dx\,, \tag{1.4}$$

where $G(0, \ldots, 0, \nabla v, v, x) \equiv 0$.

The functionals of the form (1.1) arise in the theories of phase transitions and minimal surfaces. They were first studied by Modica and Mortola [24], Modica [23], Sterenberg [38] and others. The Γ-limit of the functional in (1.1), where W don't depend on x explicitly, was obtained in the general vectorial case by Ambrosio in [2]. The Γ-limit of the functional of the form (1.2), where $n = 1$ and there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $W(h, x) = 0$ if and only if $h \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$, under some restriction on the explicit dependence on x of G and W, was obtained by Fonseca and Popovici in [16]. The Γ-limit of the functional of the form (1.2), with $n = 2$, $G(\cdot)/\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon^3|\nabla^2\psi|^2$ and W which doesn't depend on x explicitly, was found by I. Fonseca and C. Mantegazza in [15].

The functionals of second order of the form (1.3) arise, for example, in the gradient theory of solid-solid phase transitions, where one considers energies of the form

$$I_\varepsilon(v) = \int_\Omega \varepsilon|\nabla^2 v(x)|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W\Big(\nabla v(x)\Big)dx\,, \tag{1.5}$$

where $v : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ stands for the deformation, and the free energy density $W(F)$ is nonnegative and satisfies

$$W(F) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad F \in K := SO(N)A \cup SO(N)B\,.$$

Here A and B are two fixed, invertible matrices, such that $rank(A - B) = 1$ and $SO(N)$ is the set of rotations in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The simpler case where $W(F) = 0$ if and only if $F \in \{A, B\}$ was studied by Conti, Fonseca and Leoni in [10]. The case of problem (1.5), where $N = 2$ and $W(QF) = W(F)$ for all $Q \in SO(2)$ was investigated by Conti and Schweizer in [9] (see also [8] for a related problem). Another important example of the second order energy is the so called Aviles-Giga functional, defined on scalar valued functions v by

$$\int_\Omega \varepsilon|\nabla^2 v|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big(1 - |\nabla v|^2\big)^2 \qquad \text{(see [3]).} \tag{1.6}$$

In this paper we deal with the asymptotic behavior as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ of a family of functionals of the following general form:

$$I_\varepsilon\Big(v(\cdot),h(\cdot),\psi(\cdot)\Big):=\\ \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\varepsilon}G\bigg(\big\{\varepsilon^n\nabla^{n+1}v,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n h,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\psi\big\},\ldots,\big\{\varepsilon\nabla^2 v,\varepsilon\nabla h,\varepsilon\nabla\psi\big\},\big\{\nabla v,h,\psi\big\},v,x\bigg)dx+\int_\Omega\frac{1}{\varepsilon}W\Big(\nabla v,h,\psi,v,x\Big)dx\\ \text{for } \ v:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^k,\ \ \psi:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^m \ \text{ and } \ h:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times N} \ \text{ s.t } \ \operatorname{div} h\equiv 0\,. \quad (1.7)$$

Here $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set and we assume that G and W are nonnegative continuous functions defined on

$$\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n}\big\}\times\ldots\times\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\big\}\times\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m\big\}\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^N$$

and $\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^N$ respectively, and such that

$$G\Big(0,0,\ldots,0,\big\{a,b,c\big\},d,x\Big)\equiv 0 \quad \text{for all } \ a\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times N},\ b\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times N},\ c\in\mathbb{R}^m,\ d\in\mathbb{R}^k,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N\,. \qquad (1.8)$$

The functionals in (1.1),(1.2) and (1.3),(1.4) are important particular cases of the general energy I_ε in (1.7). In the general form (1.7) we also include the dependence on div-free function h, which can be useful in the study of problems with non-local terms as the Rivière-Serfaty functional and other functionals in Micromagnetics.

The main contribution of [33] was to improve our method (see [30],[26]) for finding upper bounds in the sense of (**) for the general functional (1.7) in the case where the limiting functions $\nabla v,h,\psi$ belong to the class BV.

What can we expect as reasonable upper bounds? It is clear that if the non-negative function W is continuous then every upper bound $\overline{I}(v,h,\psi)$ of (1.7), under the L^p-convergence of $\nabla v,h,\psi$, will be finite only if

$$W\Big(\nabla v(x),h(x),\psi(x),v(x),x\Big)\equiv 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } \ x\in\Omega\,. \qquad (1.9)$$

In order to formulate the main results of this paper we set

$$F\bigg(\big\{\varepsilon^n\nabla^{n+1}v,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n h,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\psi\big\},\ldots,\big\{\varepsilon\nabla^2 v,\varepsilon\nabla h,\varepsilon\nabla\psi\big\},\big\{\nabla v,h,\psi\big\},v,x\bigg):=\\ G\bigg(\big\{\varepsilon^n\nabla^{n+1}v,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n h,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\psi\big\},\ldots,\big\{\varepsilon\nabla^2 v,\varepsilon\nabla h,\varepsilon\nabla\psi\big\},\big\{\nabla v,h,\psi\big\},v,x\bigg)+W\Big(\nabla v,h,\psi,v,x\Big) \quad (1.10)$$

and define the following functionals:

$$\begin{cases} K^*\big(v(\cdot),h(\cdot),\psi(\cdot)\big):=\int_{\Omega\cap(J_{\nabla v}\cup J_h\cup J_\psi)}E^*\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+,h^+,\psi^+\big\},\big\{\nabla v^-,h^-,\psi^-\big\},\boldsymbol{\nu},x,p,q_1,q_2,q_3\Big)d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\\ K_1\big(v(\cdot),h(\cdot),\psi(\cdot)\big):=\int_{\Omega\cap(J_{\nabla v}\cup J_h\cup J_\psi)}E_1\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+,h^+,\psi^+\big\},\big\{\nabla v^-,h^-,\psi^-\big\},\boldsymbol{\nu},x\Big)d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\\ K_{per}\big(v(\cdot),h(\cdot),\psi(\cdot)\big):=\int_{\Omega\cap(J_{\nabla v}\cup J_h\cup J_\psi)}E_{per}\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+,h^+,\psi^+\big\},\big\{\nabla v^-,h^-,\psi^-\big\},\boldsymbol{\nu},x\Big)d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\end{cases}\\ \text{for } \ v:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^k,\ h:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times N},\ \psi:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^m \ \text{ s.t. } \nabla v,h,\psi\in BV,\ \operatorname{div} h\equiv 0 \ \text{ and } \ W\big(\nabla v,h,\psi,v,x\big)\equiv 0\,, \\ (1.11)$$

where $J_{\nabla v}, J_h, J_\varphi$ are the jump sets of $\nabla v, h, m$, $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is the jump vector, $E_1(\cdot), E_{per}(\cdot)$ are defined by

$$E_{per}\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+, h^+, \psi^+\big\}, \big\{\nabla v^-, h^-, \psi^-\big\}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x\Big) :=$$

$$\inf\Bigg\{\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{L}F\bigg(\Big\{L^n\nabla^{n+1}\sigma(y), L^n\nabla^n\theta(y), L^n\nabla^n\gamma(y)\Big\}, \ldots, \Big\{\nabla\sigma(y), \theta(y), \gamma(y)\Big\}, v(x), x\bigg)dy : \quad L > 0,$$

$$\sigma \in \mathcal{W}^{(1)}_{per}(x, \nabla v^+, \nabla v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}),\ \theta \in \mathcal{W}^{(2)}_{per}(x, h^+, h^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}),\ \gamma \in \mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{per}(x, \psi^+, \psi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu})\Bigg\}, \tag{1.12}$$

$$E_1\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+, h^+, \psi^+\big\}, \big\{\nabla v^-, h^-, \psi^-\big\}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x\Big) :=$$

$$\inf\Bigg\{\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{L}F\bigg(\Big\{L^n\nabla^{n+1}\sigma(y), L^n\nabla^n\theta(y), L^n\nabla^n\gamma(y)\Big\}, \ldots, \Big\{\nabla\sigma(y), \theta(y), \gamma(y)\Big\}, v(x), x\bigg)dy : \quad L > 0,$$

$$\sigma \in \mathcal{W}^{(1)}_{per}(x, \nabla v^+, \nabla v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}),\ \theta \in \mathcal{W}^{(2)}_{per}(x, h^+, h^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}),\ \gamma \in \mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{per}(x, \psi^+, \psi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu})$$

$$\text{s.t}\ \ \nabla\sigma(y) \equiv \tilde{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot y),\ \theta(y) \equiv \tilde{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot y),\ \gamma(y) \equiv \tilde{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot y)\Bigg\}. \tag{1.13}$$

Here $\{\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, \ldots \boldsymbol{k}_N\}$ is an orthonormal base in $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{k}_1 = \boldsymbol{\nu}$,

$$\mathcal{W}^{(1)}_{per}(x, \nabla v^+, \nabla v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) := \bigg\{u \in C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^k) : \ \ \nabla u(y) = \nabla v^- \ \text{ if } \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} \le -1/2,$$

$$\nabla u(y) = \nabla v^+ \ \text{ if } \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} \ge 1/2 \ \text{ and } \ \nabla u\big(y + \boldsymbol{k}_j\big) = \nabla u(y) \ \ \forall j = 2, 3, \ldots, N\bigg\}, \tag{1.14}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_{per}(x, h^+, h^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) :=$$

$$\bigg\{\xi \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}) : \ \ div_y\xi(y) \equiv 0, \ \ \xi(y) = h^- \ \text{ if } \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} \le -1/2,$$

$$\xi(y) = h^+ \ \text{ if } \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} \ge 1/2 \ \text{ and } \ \xi\big(y + \boldsymbol{k}_j\big) = \xi(y) \ \ \forall j = 2, 3, \ldots, N\bigg\}, \tag{1.15}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{per}(x, \psi^+, \psi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) := \bigg\{\zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) : \ \ \zeta(y) = \psi^- \ \text{ if } \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} \le -1/2,$$

$$\zeta(y) = \psi^+ \ \text{ if } \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}(x) \ge 1/2 \ \text{ and } \ \zeta\big(y + \boldsymbol{k}_j\big) = \zeta(y) \ \ \forall j = 2, 3, \ldots, N\bigg\}, \tag{1.16}$$

$$I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \Big\{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : \ |y\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, N\Big\}. \tag{1.17}$$

Furthermore,

$$E^*\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+, h^+, \psi^+\big\}, \big\{\nabla v^-, h^-, \psi^-\big\}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x, p, q_1, q_2, q_3\Big) :=$$

$$\inf\Bigg\{\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\nabla^{n+1}\sigma_\varepsilon(y), \varepsilon^n\nabla^n\theta_\varepsilon(y), \varepsilon^n\nabla^n\gamma_\varepsilon(y)\Big\}, \ldots, \Big\{\nabla\sigma_\varepsilon(y), \theta_\varepsilon(y), \gamma_\varepsilon(y)\Big\}, v(x), x\bigg)dy :$$

$$\sigma_\varepsilon \in W^{1,q_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k) \cap W^{(n+1),p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k),\ \theta_\varepsilon \in L^{q_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}) \cap W^{n,p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}),$$

$$\gamma_\varepsilon \in L^{q_3}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{n,p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^m) \ \text{ s.t. } \operatorname{div}_y\theta_\varepsilon(y) \equiv 0,\ \nabla\sigma_\varepsilon(y) \to \sigma\big(y, \nabla v^+, \nabla v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}\big) \text{ in } L^{q_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{k\times N}),$$

$$\theta_\varepsilon(y) \to \theta(y, h^+, h^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^{q_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}),\ \gamma_\varepsilon(y) \to \gamma(y, \psi^+, \psi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^{q_3}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^m)\Bigg\}, \tag{1.18}$$

where

$$\sigma\big(y,\nabla v^+,\nabla v^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}\big):=\begin{cases}\nabla v^+ & \text{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}>0\,,\\ \nabla v^- & \text{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}<0\,,\end{cases}\qquad \theta\big(y,h^+,h^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}\big):=\begin{cases}h^+ & \text{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}>0\,,\\ h^- & \text{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}<0\,,\end{cases}$$

$$\text{and}\quad \gamma\big(y,\psi^+,\psi^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}\big):=\begin{cases}\psi^+ & \text{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}>0\,,\\ \psi^- & \text{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}<0\,.\end{cases}\tag{1.19}$$

Observe here that it is clear that

$$E^*\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+,h^+,\psi^+\big\},\big\{\nabla v^-,h^-,\psi^-\big\},\boldsymbol{\nu},x,p,q_1,q_2,q_3\Big)\leq \\ E_{per}\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+,h^+,\psi^+\big\},\big\{\nabla v^-,h^-,\psi^-\big\},\boldsymbol{\nu},x\Big)\leq E_1\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+,h^+,\psi^+\big\},\big\{\nabla v^-,h^-,\psi^-\big\},\boldsymbol{\nu},x\Big)\,.\tag{1.20}$$

Therefore,

$$K^*\big(v(\cdot),h(\cdot),\psi(\cdot)\big)\leq K_{per}\big(v(\cdot),h(\cdot),\psi(\cdot)\big)\leq K_1\big(v(\cdot),h(\cdot),\psi(\cdot)\big)\,.\tag{1.21}$$

We call $K_1(\cdot)$ by the bound, achieved by one dimensional profiles, $K_{per}(\cdot)$ by the bound, achieved by multidimensional periodic profiles and $K^*(\cdot)$ by the bound, achieved by abstract profiles.

Our general conjecture is that $K^*(\cdot)$ is the Γ-limit for the functionals $I_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ in (1.7), under $\big\{W^{1,q_1},L^{q_2},L^{q_3}\big\}$ convergence, in the case where the limiting functions $\nabla v,h,\psi\in BV$ and satisfy (1.9). It is known that in the case of the problem (1.1), where $W\in C^1$ don't depend on x explicitly, this is indeed the case and moreover, in this case we have equalities in (1.21) (see [2]). The same result is also known for problem (1.6) when $N=2$ (see [3] and [7],[30]). It is also the case for problem (1.5) where $W(F)=0$ if and only if $F\in\{A,B\}$, studied by Conti, Fonseca and Leoni, if W satisfies the additional hypothesis (H_3) in [10]. However, as was shown there by the example, if we don't assume (H_3)-hypothesis, then it is possible that $E_{per}\big(\nabla v^+,\nabla v^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}\big)$ is strictly smaller than $E_1\big(\nabla v^+,\nabla v^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}\big)$ and thus, in general, $K_1(\cdot)$ can differ from the Γ-limit. In the same work it was shown that if, instead of (H_3) we assume hypothesis (H_5), then $K_{per}(\cdot)$ turns to be equal to $K^*(\cdot)$ and the Γ-limit of (1.5) equals to $K_{per}(\cdot)\equiv K^*(\cdot)$. The similar result known also for problem (1.2), where $n=1$ and there exist $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $W(h,x)=0$ if and only if $h\in\{\alpha,\beta\}$, under some restriction on the explicit dependence on x of G and W. As was obtained by Fonseca and Popovici in [16] in this case we also obtain that $K_{per}(\cdot)\equiv K^*(\cdot)$ is the Γ-limit of (1.2). In the case of problem (1.5), where $N=2$ and $W(QF)=W(F)$ for all $Q\in SO(2)$, Conti and Schweizer in [9] found that the Γ-limit equals to $K^*(\cdot)$ (see also [8] for a related problem). However, it isn't known, either in general $K^*(\cdot)\equiv K_{per}(\cdot)$.

On [26] we showed that for the general problems (1.2) and (1.4), $K_1(\cdot)$ is the upper bound in the sense of **(**)**, if the limiting function belongs to BV-class. However, as we saw, this bound is not sharp in general. As we obtained in [33] for the general problem (1.7), $K_{per}(\cdot)$ is always the upper bound in the sense of **(**)** in the case where the limiting functions $\nabla v,h,\psi$ belong to BV-space and $G,W\in C^1$. More precisely, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G and W be nonnegative C^1-functions defined on*

$$\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n}\big\}\times\ldots\times\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\big\}\times\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{m}\big\}\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^N$$

and $\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^N$ *respectively, and* G *satisfies*

$$G\Big(0,0,\ldots,0,\big\{a,b,c\big\},d,x\Big)\equiv 0\quad \text{for all }\ a\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times N},\ b\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times N},\ c\in\mathbb{R}^m,\ d\in\mathbb{R}^k,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N\,.$$

Then for every $v\in Lip(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^k)\cap L^1\cap L^\infty$ *such that* $\nabla v\in BV$ *and for every* $h\in BV(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})\cap L^\infty$ *and* $\psi\in BV(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^m)\cap L^\infty$ *satisfying* $\|D(\nabla v)\|(\partial\Omega)+\|Dh\|(\partial\Omega)+\|D\psi\|(\partial\Omega)=0$, $\operatorname{div} h\equiv 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *and*

$$W\Big(\nabla v(x),v(x),h(x),\psi(x),x\Big)\equiv 0\quad\text{for a.e. }\ x\in\Omega\,,$$

there exists sequences $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\{h_\varepsilon\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ *and* $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *such that* $div_x h_\varepsilon(x)\equiv 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\int_\Omega\psi_\varepsilon\,dx=\int_\Omega\psi\,dx$, *for every* $p\geq 1$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}v_\varepsilon=v$ *in* $W^{1,p}$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}h_\varepsilon=h$ *in* L^p, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\psi_\varepsilon=\psi$ *in* L^p *and*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}I_\varepsilon\big(v_\varepsilon,h_\varepsilon,\psi_\varepsilon\big):=\\ \lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int_\Omega\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Big(\big\{\varepsilon^n\nabla^{n+1}v_\varepsilon,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n h_\varepsilon,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\psi_\varepsilon\big\},\ldots,\big\{\varepsilon\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\nabla h_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\nabla\psi_\varepsilon\big\},\big\{\nabla v_\varepsilon,h_\varepsilon,\psi_\varepsilon\big\},v_\varepsilon,x\Big)dx\\ \leq K_{per}\big(v,h,\psi\big)\,,\tag{1.22}$$

where $I_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ *is defined by* (1.7)*,* F *is defined by* (1.10) *and* $K_{per}(\cdot)$ *is defined by* (1.11)*.*

The main result of this paper is that for the general problem (1.7), when G,W don't depend on x explicitly, $K^*(\cdot)$ is the lower bound in the sense of **(*)**. More precisely, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let* G *and* W *be nonnegative continuous functions defined on*

$$\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n}\big\}\times\ldots\times\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\big\}\times\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m\big\},$$

and $\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m$ *respectively,*

$$G\Big(0,0,\ldots,0,\big\{a,b,c\big\}\Big)\equiv 0\quad\text{for all }\ a\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times N},\ b\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times N},\ c\in\mathbb{R}^m\,.$$

Furthermore, let $q_1,q_2,q_3\geq 1$, $p\geq 1$ *and* $v(x)\in W^{1,q_1}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k)$, $h(x)\in L^{q_2}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ *and* $\psi\in L^{q_3}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *be such that* $div_x h(x)\equiv 0$ *in* Ω *and*

$$W\Big(0,0,\ldots,0,\big\{\nabla v,h,\psi\big\}\Big)=0\quad\text{a.e. in }\Omega\,.$$

Assume also that $\nabla v,h,\psi\in BV_{loc}\cap L^\infty_{loc}$ *or, more generally, there exists a* $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ σ*-finite Borel set* $S\subset\Omega$ *which we denote by* $\Omega\cap(J_{\nabla v}\cup J_h\cup J_\psi)$ *and there exist Borel mappings* $\nabla v^+(x):S\to\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}$, $\nabla v^-(x):S\to\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}$, $h^+(x):S\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}$, $h^-(x):S\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}$, $\psi^+(x):S\to\mathbb{R}^m$, $\psi^-(x):S\to\mathbb{R}^m$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\nu}(x):S\to S^{N-1}$ *such that for every* $x\in S$ *we have*

$$\lim_{\rho\to0^+}\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)}\int_{B^+_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}(x))}\bigg(\Big|\nabla v(y)-\nabla v^+(x)\Big|^{q_1}+\Big|h(y)-h^+(x)\Big|^{q_2}+\Big|\psi(y)-\psi^+(x)\Big|^{q_3}\bigg)dy=0\,,\\ \lim_{\rho\to0^+}\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)}\int_{B^-_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}(x))}\bigg(\Big|\nabla v(y)-\nabla v^-(x)\Big|^{q_1}+\Big|h(y)-h^-(x)\Big|^{q_2}+\Big|\psi(y)-\psi^-(x)\Big|^{q_3}\bigg)dy=0\,.\tag{1.23}$$

Then for every $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,q_1}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k) \cap W^{(n+1),p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\{h_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^{q_2}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}) \cap W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ *and* $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^{q_3}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *satisfying* $div_x h_\varepsilon(x) \equiv 0$ *in* Ω, $v_\varepsilon \to v$ *in* $W^{1,q_1}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k)$ *as* $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, $h_\varepsilon \to h$ *in* $L^{q_2}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ *as* $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ *and* $\psi_\varepsilon \to \psi$ *in* $L^{q_3}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$, *we have*

$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} I_\varepsilon\big(v_\varepsilon,h_\varepsilon,\psi_\varepsilon\big) :=$$
$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int\limits_\Omega \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\bigg(\big\{\varepsilon^n\nabla^{n+1}v_\varepsilon,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n h_\varepsilon,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\psi_\varepsilon\big\},\ldots,\big\{\varepsilon\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\nabla h_\varepsilon,\,\varepsilon\nabla\psi_\varepsilon\big\},\,\big\{\nabla v_\varepsilon,h_\varepsilon,\psi_\varepsilon\big\}\bigg)\,dx \geq K^*\big(v,h,\psi\big)\,, \tag{1.24}$$

where F *and* $K^*(\cdot)$ *are defined by* (1.10) *and* (1.11) *respectively.*

For slightly generalized formulation and additional details see Theorem 2.3. See also Theorem 2.2 as an analogous result for more general functionals than that defined by (1.7).

As we saw there is a natural question either in general $K^*(\cdot) \equiv K_{per}(\cdot)$. The answer yes will mean that, in the case when G, W are C^1 functions which don't depend on x explicitly, the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 will coincide with the lower bound of Theorem 1.2 and therefore we will find the full Γ-limit in the case of BV limiting functions. The equivalent question is either

$$E^*\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+,h^+,\psi^+\big\},\big\{\nabla v^-,h^-,\psi^-\big\},\boldsymbol{\nu},x,p,q_1,q_2,q_3\Big) = E_{per}\Big(\big\{\nabla v^+,h^+,\psi^+\big\},\big\{\nabla v^-,h^-,\psi^-\big\},\boldsymbol{\nu},x\Big)\,, \tag{1.25}$$

where $E_{per}(\cdot)$ is defined in (1.12) and $E^*(\cdot)$ is defined by (1.18). In the section 3 we formulate and prove some partial results that refer to this important question. In particular we prove that this is indeed the case for the general problem (1.2). More precisely, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $G \in C^1\big(\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^{(n-1)}}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}\big)$ *and* $W \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R})$ *be nonnegative functions such that* $G\big(0,0,\ldots,0,b\big)=0$ *for every* $b\in\mathbb{R}^m$ *and there exist* $C>0$ *and* $p\geq 1$ *satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{C}|A|^p \leq F\Big(A,a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1},b\Big) \leq C\bigg(|A|^p+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}|a_j|^p+|b|^p+1\bigg) \quad \textit{for every}\ \ \big(A,a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-1},b\big), \tag{1.26}$$

where we denote

$$F\Big(A,a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1},b\Big) := G\Big(A,a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1},b\Big)+W(b)$$

Next let $\psi \in BV(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^m)\cap L^\infty$ *be such that* $\|D\psi\|(\partial\Omega)=0$ *and* $W\big(\psi(x)\big)=0$ *for a.e.* $x\in\Omega$. *Then* $K^*(\psi)=K_{per}(\psi)$ *and for every* $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}\subset W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *such that* $\varphi_\varepsilon\to\psi$ *in* $L^p_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *as* $\varepsilon\to 0^+$, *we have*

$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to0^+} I_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) := \varliminf_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_\Omega F\bigg(\,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\,\varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^{n-1}\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\,\ldots,\,\varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\,\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\bigg)dx$$
$$\geq K_{per}(\psi) := \int_{\Omega\cap J_\psi}\bar{E}_{per}\Big(\psi^+(x),\psi^-(x),\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)\Big)\,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,, \tag{1.27}$$

where

$$\bar{E}_{per}\Big(\psi^+,\psi^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}\Big) :=$$
$$\inf\bigg\{\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{L}F\Big(L^n\,\nabla^n\zeta,\,L^{n-1}\,\nabla^{n-1}\zeta,\,\ldots,\,L\,\nabla\zeta,\,\zeta\Big)\,dx:\;\; L\in(0,+\infty)\,,\;\zeta\in\mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{per}(\psi^+,\psi^-,\boldsymbol{\nu})\bigg\}\,, \tag{1.28}$$

with

$$\mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{per}(\psi^+,\psi^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}) := \Big\{\zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^m): \ \ \zeta(y)=\psi^- \ \ \text{if} \ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} \le -1/2, \\ \zeta(y)=\psi^+ \ \ \text{if} \ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)\ge 1/2 \ \ \text{and} \ \ \zeta\big(y+\boldsymbol{k}_j\big)=\zeta(y) \ \ \forall j=2,3,\ldots,N\Big\}. \tag{1.29}$$

Here $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \{y\in\mathbb{R}^N: \ |y{\cdot}\boldsymbol{k}_j|<1/2 \ \ \forall j=1,2\ldots N\}$ *where* $\{\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{k}_N\}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ *is an orthonormal base in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{k}_1:=\boldsymbol{\nu}$*. Moreover, there exists e sequence* $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}\subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *such that* $\int_\Omega\psi_\varepsilon(x)dx=\int_\Omega\psi(x)dx$*, for every* $q\ge 1$ *we have* $\psi_\varepsilon\to\psi$ *in* $L^q(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *as* $\varepsilon\to 0^+$*, and we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+} I_\varepsilon(\psi_\varepsilon) := \lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_\Omega F\Big(\,\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\psi_\varepsilon(x),\,\varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^{n-1}\psi_\varepsilon(x),\,\ldots,\,\varepsilon\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(x),\,\psi_\varepsilon(x)\Big)dx \\ = K_{per}(\psi) := \int_{\Omega\cap J_\psi}\bar{E}_{per}\Big(\psi^+(x),\psi^-(x),\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)\Big)d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,. \tag{1.30}$$

See Theorem 3.2 as a slightly generalized result. Note here that the particular cases of Theorems 1.3 and 3.2, where $n=1$ and there exist $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $W(h)=0$ if and only if $h\in\{\alpha,\beta\}$, was obtained by Fonseca and Popovici in [16].

Remark 1.1. In what follows we use some special notations and apply some basic theorems about BV functions. For the convenience of the reader we put these notations and theorems in Appendix.

2 The abstract lower bound

Definition 2.1. Given an open set $G\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ and a vector $\boldsymbol{q}=(q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_m)\in\mathbb{R}^m$, such that $q_j\ge1$ for every $1\le j\le m$, define the Banach space $L^{\boldsymbol{q}}(G,\mathbb{R}^m)$ as the space of all (equivalency classes of a.e. equal) functions $f(x)=\big(f_1(x),f_2(x),\ldots,f_m(x)\big):G\to\mathbb{R}^m$, such that $f_j(x)\in L^{q_j}(G,\mathbb{R})$ for every $1\le j\le m$, endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{L^{\boldsymbol{q}}(G,\mathbb{R}^m)} := \sum_{j=1}^m\|f_j\|_{L^{q_j}(G,\mathbb{R})}$. Next define, as usual, $L^{\boldsymbol{q}}_{loc}(G,\mathbb{R}^m)$ as a space of all functions $f:G\to\mathbb{R}^m$, such that for every compactly embedded $U\subset\subset G$ we have $f\in L^{\boldsymbol{q}}_{loc}(U,\mathbb{R}^m)$. Finally in the case where $q\in[1,+\infty)$ is a scalar we as, usual, consider $L^q(G,\mathbb{R}^m):=L^{\boldsymbol{q}}(G,\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $L^q_{loc}(G,\mathbb{R}^m):=L^{\boldsymbol{q}}_{loc}(G,\mathbb{R}^m)$, where $\boldsymbol{q}:=(q,q,\ldots,q)$.

Definition 2.2. Given a vector $x:=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_m)\in\mathbb{R}^m$ and a vector $\boldsymbol{q}=(q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_m)\in\mathbb{R}^m$, such that $q_j\ge1$, we define $|x|^{\boldsymbol{q}} := \sum_{j=1}^m|x_j|^{q_j}$. Note that for a scalar q, $|x|^q$ and $|x|^{(q,q,\ldots q)}$ are, in general, different quantities, although they have the same order, i.e. $|x|^q/C\le|x|^{(q,q,\ldots q)}\le C|x|^q$ for some constant $C>0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a subset of* $\mathbb{R}^m$*,* $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ *be an open set and* $D\subset\Omega$ *be a* $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ σ*-finite Borel set. Consider* $F\in C(\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R})$*, which satisfies* $F\ge0$ *and the following property: For every* $x_0\in\Omega$ *and every* $\tau>0$ *there exists* $\alpha>0$ *satisfying*

$$F(a,b,x)-F(a,b,x_0)\ge-\tau F(a,b,x_0) \quad \forall\,a\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\,\forall\,b\in\mathbb{R}^m\,\forall\,x\in\mathbb{R}^N \ \ \text{such that} \ \ |x-x_0|<\alpha\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, let $\boldsymbol{A}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times N};\mathbb{R}^m)$*,* $q=(q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_m)\in\mathbb{R}^m$*,* $p\ge1$ *and* $v\in\mathcal{D}'(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^d)$ *be such that* $q_j\ge1$*,* $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\in L^q_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *and* $F\big(0,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}(x),x\big)=0$ *a.e. in* Ω*. Assume also that there exist three Borel mappings*

$\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x): D\to\mathbb{R}^m$, $\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x): D\to\mathbb{R}^m$ *and* $\boldsymbol{n}(x): D\to S^{N-1}$ *such that for every* $x\in D$ *we have*

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\frac{\int_{B_\rho^+(x,\boldsymbol{n}(x))}\big|\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}(y)-\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x)\big|^q\,dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)}=0,$$
$$\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\frac{\int_{B_\rho^-(x,\boldsymbol{n}(x))}\big|\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}(y)-\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x)\big|^q\,dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)}=0\qquad\textit{(see Definition 2.2).}\tag{2.2}$$

Then for every $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}\subset\mathcal{D}'(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^d)$*, satisfying* $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\in L^q_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)\cap W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$*,* $\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x)\in\mathcal{M}$ *for a.e.* $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\to\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v$ *in* $L^q_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *as* $\varepsilon\to 0^+$*, we have*

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_\Omega F\Big(\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big\}(x),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x),\,x\Big)dx\geq$$
$$\int_D E_0\Big(\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x),\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x),\boldsymbol{n}(x),x\Big)d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,,\tag{2.3}$$

where for every $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$*,* $a,b\in\mathbb{R}^m$ *and any unit vector* $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathbb{R}^N$

$$E_0\big(a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu},x\big):=\inf\Bigg\{\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}F\Big(\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\big\}(y),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y),\,x\Big)dy:\;\;\varphi_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{D}'(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)\quad s.t.$$
$$\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\in L^q(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)\cap W^{1,p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m),\;\;\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{M}\text{ a.e. in }I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\;\text{ and }\;\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y)\to\xi(y,a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu})\text{ in }L^q(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)\Bigg\}.\tag{2.4}$$

Here $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}:=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:\;|y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j|<1/2\quad\forall j=1,2\ldots N\}$ *where* $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\boldsymbol{\nu}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_N\}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ *is an orthonormal base in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1:=\boldsymbol{\nu}$ *and*

$$\xi(y,a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu}):=\begin{cases}a & \textit{if}\,y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}>0\,,\\ b & \textit{if}\,y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}<0\,.\end{cases}\tag{2.5}$$

Proof. It is clear that we may assume that

$$T_0:=\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_\Omega F\Big(\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big\}(x),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x),\,x\Big)dx<+\infty\,,\tag{2.6}$$

otherwise it is trivial. Then, up to a subsequence $\varepsilon_n\to 0^+$ as $n\to+\infty$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}F\Big(\varepsilon_n\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\big\}(x),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\}(x),\,x\Big)dx\rightharpoonup\mu\,,\tag{2.7}$$

and

$$T_0\geq\mu(\Omega)\,,\tag{2.8}$$

where μ is some positive finite Radon measure on Ω and the convergence is in the sense of the weak* convergence of finite Radon measures. Moreover, for every compact set $K\subset\Omega$ we have

$$\mu(K)\geq\underline{\lim}_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\int_K F\Big(\varepsilon_n\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\big\}(x),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\}(x),\,x\Big)dx\,.\tag{2.9}$$

Next by the Theorem about k-dimensinal densities (Theorem 2.56 in [4]) we have

$$\mu(D)\geq\int_D\sigma(x)\,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,,\tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\sigma(x) := \overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}} \frac{\mu(B_\rho(x))}{\omega_{N-1}\rho^{N-1}}\,, \tag{2.11}$$

with ω_{N-1} denoting the $\mathcal{L}^{N-1}$-measure of $(N-1)$-dimensional unit ball. Fix now $\delta > 1$. Then by (2.9), for every $x \in \Omega$ and every $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small we have

$$\mu\big(B_{(\delta\rho)}(x)\big) \geq \mu\big(\overline{B}_\rho(x)\big) \geq \underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\int_{\overline{B}_\rho(x)} F\Big(\,\varepsilon_n\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\big\}(y),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\}(y),\,y\Big)dy\,. \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand by (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11) we obtain

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \mu(D) \geq \int_D \sigma(x)\,\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) = \int_D \bigg\{\overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}} \frac{\mu(B_{(\delta\rho)}(x))}{\omega_{N-1}(\delta\rho)^{N-1}}\bigg\}\,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,. \tag{2.13}$$

Thus plugging (2.12) into (2.13) we deduce

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \\ \frac{1}{\delta^{N-1}}\int_D \Bigg\{\overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}\rho^{N-1}}\,\underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\int_{B_\rho(x)} F\Big(\,\varepsilon_n\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\big\}(y),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\}(y),\,y\Big)dy\Bigg)\Bigg\}\,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,. \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore, since $\delta > 1$ was chosen arbitrary we deduce

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \\ \int_D \Bigg\{\overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}\rho^{N-1}}\,\underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\int_{B_\rho(x)} F\Big(\,\varepsilon_n\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\big\}(y),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_n\}(y),\,y\Big)dy\Bigg)\Bigg\}\,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,. \tag{2.15}$$

Next set

$$\varphi_{n,\rho,x}(z) := \frac{1}{\rho}v_n(x+\rho z) \quad\text{and}\quad \varphi_{\rho,x}(z) := \frac{1}{\rho}v(x+\rho z)\,. \tag{2.16}$$

Then changing variables $y = x + \rho z$ in the interior integration in (2.15) we infer

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \int_D \Bigg\{ \\ \overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}}\,\underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)}\int_{B_1(0)} F\Big(\,(\varepsilon_n/\rho)\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\big\}(z),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z),\,x+\rho z\Big)dz\Bigg)\Bigg\}\,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,. \tag{2.17}$$

But by (2.1) for every $x \in D$ and every $\tau > 0$ we obtain

$$\overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}}\,\underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)}\int_{B_1(0)} F\Big(\,(\varepsilon_n/\rho)\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\big\}(z),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z),\,x+\rho z\Big)dz\Bigg) \geq \\ \overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}}\,\underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)}\int_{B_1(0)} (1-\tau)F\Big(\,(\varepsilon_n/\rho)\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\big\}(z),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z),\,x\Big)dz\Bigg)\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Thus since $\tau > 0$ is arbitrary

$$\overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}}\,\underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)}\int_{B_1(0)} F\Big(\,(\varepsilon_n/\rho)\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\big\}(z),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z),\,x+\rho z\Big)dz\Bigg) \geq \\ \overline{\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}}\,\underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)}\int_{B_1(0)} F\Big(\,(\varepsilon_n/\rho)\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\big\}(z),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z),\,x\Big)dz\Bigg)\,. \tag{2.19}$$

Plugging (2.19) into (2.17) we deduce

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \int_D \Bigg\{ \\ \varlimsup_{\rho\to 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \varliminf_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} F\Big((\varepsilon_n/\rho)\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\big\}(z),\, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z),\, x\Big)dz \right) \Bigg\} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,. \tag{2.20}$$

Furthermore, for every $x \in D$ for every small $\rho > 0$ we have

$$\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x} \to \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{\rho,x} \quad as\ n\to+\infty \quad \text{in} \quad L^q\big(B_1(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big)\,. \tag{2.21}$$

On the other hand by (2.2) for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{\rho,x}\}(z) \to \xi\Big(z, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x), \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x), \boldsymbol{n}(x)\Big) \quad as\ \rho\to 0^+ \quad \text{in} \quad L^q\big(B_1(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big)\,. \tag{2.22}$$

Thus, for every $x \in D$, we can extract appropriate diagonal subsequences of $\{\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}_{\{n,\rho\}}$, and $\{\varepsilon_n/\rho\}_{\{n,\rho\}}$ which we denote by $\{\vartheta_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$, and $\{\varepsilon'_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ respectively, so that $\varepsilon'_j \to 0^+$ as $j\to+\infty$, $\vartheta_j(z) \to \xi\Big(z, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x), \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x), \boldsymbol{n}(x)\Big)$ in $L^q\big(B_1(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big)$ as $j\to+\infty$, and

$$\varlimsup_{\rho\to 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \varliminf_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} F\Big((\varepsilon_n/\rho)\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\big\}(z),\, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z),\, x\Big)dz \right) \\ \geq \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \varliminf_{j\to+\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_j} \int_{B_1(0)} F\Big(\varepsilon'_j\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\vartheta_j\big\}(z),\, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\vartheta_j\}(z),\, x\Big)dz\,. \tag{2.23}$$

Plugging this fact into (2.20) we obtain

$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_\Omega F\Big(\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon(x)\big\},\, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x),\, x\Big)dx = T_0 \geq \\ \int_D E_1\Big(\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x), \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x), \boldsymbol{n}(x), x\Big) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,, \tag{2.24}$$

where for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and any unit vector $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$E_1\big(a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu},x\big) := \inf\Bigg\{ \varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}\varepsilon} \int_{B_1(0)} F\Big(\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)\big\},\, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y),\, x\Big)dy : \quad \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}'\big(B_1(0),\mathbb{R}^d\big) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \in L^q\big(B_1(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big) \cap W^{1,p}\big(B_1(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big),\ \ \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M} \text{ a.e. in } B_1(0) \\ \text{and } \ \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y) \to \xi(y,a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^q\big(B_1(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big) \Bigg\}\,. \tag{2.25}$$

So it is sufficient to prove that for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and any unit vector $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have

$$E_1\big(a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu},x\big) \geq E_0\big(a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu},x\big)\,, \tag{2.26}$$

where $E_0\big(a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu},x\big)$ is defined by (2.4). Without loss of generality it is sufficient to prove (2.26) in the particular case where $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{e}_1$ and $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : \ |y\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2\ldots N\}$ where $\{\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is the standard orthonormal base in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Choose a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then changing variables of integration

$z = ny$ in (2.25) we obtain

$$E_1\big(a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu},x\big) = \inf\Bigg\{ \varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}n^{N-1}\varepsilon} \int\limits_{B_n(0)} F\Big(\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)\big\},\, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y),\, x\Big)dy :\ \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}'\big(B_n(0),\mathbb{R}^d\big) \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \in L^q\big(B_n(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big)\cap W^{1,p}\big(B_n(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big),\ \ \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M} \text{ a.e. in } B_n(0)$$

$$\text{and } \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y) \to \xi(y,a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^q\big(B_n(0),\mathbb{R}^m\big)\Bigg\}. \tag{2.27}$$

Next for every integers $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the set

$$I_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{N-1})} := \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^N :\ |z\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_1| < 1/2 \ \text{ and } \ \big|z\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_j - i_{j-1}\big| < 1/2 \ \ \forall j = 2,3,\ldots,N \Big\}. \tag{2.28}$$

and set $I_0 := I_{(0,0,\ldots,0)}$. Then by (2.27)

$$E_1\big(a,b,\boldsymbol{e}_1,x\big) \ge \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}n^{N-1}}\,Card\bigg(\Big\{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} :\ I_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{N-1})} \in B_n(0)\Big\}\bigg)\times$$

$$\times \inf\Bigg\{ \varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{I_0} F\Big(\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)\big\},\, \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y),\, x\Big)dy :\ \ \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}'(I_0,\mathbb{R}^d) \ \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \in L^q\big(I_0,\mathbb{R}^m\big)\cap W^{1,p}\big(I_0,\mathbb{R}^m\big),\ \ \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M} \text{ a.e. in } I_0 \ \text{ and } \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y) \to \xi(y,a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^q\big(I_0,\mathbb{R}^m\big)\Bigg\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}n^{N-1}}\,Card\bigg(\Big\{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} :\ I_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{N-1})} \in B_n(0)\Big\}\bigg)\,E_0(a,b,\boldsymbol{e}_1,x)\,. \tag{2.29}$$

On the other hand clearly

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}n^{N-1}}\,Card\bigg(\Big\{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} :\ I_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{N-1})} \in B_n(0)\Big\}\bigg) = 1\,. \tag{2.30}$$

Therefore, since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was chosen arbitrary we deduce (2.26). Plugging it into (2.24) completes the proof. □

By the same method we can prove the following more general Theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and $D \subset \Omega$ be a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ σ-finite Borel set. Consider $F \in C\big(\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^{(n-1)}}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}\big)$, which satisfies $F \ge 0$ and the following property: For every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ satisfying*

$$F\big(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n,b,x\big) - F\big(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n,b,x_0\big) \ge -\tau F\big(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n,b,x_0\big)$$

$$\forall\, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n}\ \forall\, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times N^{n-1}} \ldots \forall\, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\ \forall\, b \in \mathbb{R}^m\ \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ \text{ such that } |x - x_0| < \alpha\,. \tag{2.31}$$

Furthermore, let $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times N};\mathbb{R}^m)$, $q = (q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $p \ge 1$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that $q_j \ge 1$, $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ and

$$F\Big(0,0,\ldots,0,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}(x),x\Big) = 0 \qquad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega\,.$$

Assume also that there exist three Borel mappings $\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x) : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x) : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{n}(x) : D \to S^{N-1}$ such that for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0^+} \frac{\int_{B^+_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{n}(x))} \big|\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}(y) - \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x)\big|^q\,dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0^+} \frac{\int_{B^-_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{n}(x))} \big|\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}(y) - \{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x)\big|^q\,dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)} = 0 \qquad \textit{(see Definition 2.2).} \tag{2.32}$$

Then for every $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}\subset\mathcal{D}'(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^d)$*, satisfying* $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\in L^q_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)\cap W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$*,* $\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x)\in\mathcal{M}$ *for a.e.* $x\in\Omega$ *and* $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\to\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v$ *in* $L^q_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *as* $\varepsilon\to 0^+$*, we have*

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_\Omega F\bigg(\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big\}(x),\,\varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^{n-1}\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big\}(x),\,\ldots,\,\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon(x)\big\},\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x),\,x\bigg)dx \\ \geq\int_D E_0^{(n)}\Big(\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^+(x),\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v\}^-(x),\boldsymbol{n}(x),x\Big)d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,,\quad(2.33)$$

where for every $a,b\in\mathbb{R}^m$ *and any unit vector* $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathbb{R}^N$

$$E_0^{(n)}\big(a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu},x\big):= \\ \inf\Bigg\{\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}F\bigg(\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\big\}(y),\,\varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^{n-1}\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\big\}(y),\,\ldots,\,\varepsilon\nabla\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\big\}(y),\,\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y),\,x\bigg)dy: \\ \varphi_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{D}'(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)\ \text{ s.t. }\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\in L^q(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)\cap W^{n,p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m),\ \ \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{M}\text{ a.e. in }I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \\ \text{and }\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\}(y)\to\xi(y,a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu})\text{ in }L^q(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)\Bigg\}.\quad(2.34)$$

Here $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}:=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:\ |y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j|<1/2\quad\forall j=1,2\ldots N\}$ *where* $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\boldsymbol{\nu}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_N\}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ *is an orthonormal base in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1:=\boldsymbol{\nu}$ *and*

$$\xi(y,a,b,\boldsymbol{\nu}):=\begin{cases}a & \text{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}>0\,,\\ b & \text{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}<0\,.\end{cases}\quad(2.35)$$

We have the following particular case of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a subset of* $\mathbb{R}^m$*,* $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ *be an open set and* $D\subset\Omega$ *be a* $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ σ*-finite Borel set. Furthermore, let* $q_1,q_2,q_3\geq 1$*,* $p\geq 1$ *and let* F *be a continuous function defined on*

$$\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n}\big\}\times\ldots\times\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\big\}\times\big\{\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m\big\}\times\mathbb{R}^N,$$

taking values in $\mathbb{R}$ *and satisfying* $F\geq 0$ *and the following property: For every* $x_0\in\Omega$ *and every* $\tau>0$ *there exists* $\alpha>0$ *satisfying*

$$F\big(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n,b,x\big)-F\big(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n,b,x_0\big)\geq-\tau F\big(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n,b,x_0\big)\quad\forall\,a_1\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^{n+1}}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n} \\ \ldots\forall\,a_n\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N}\ \ \forall\,b\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}\times\mathbb{R}^m\ \ \forall\,x\in\mathbb{R}^N\ \text{ such that }\ |x-x_0|<\alpha\,.\quad(2.36)$$

Let $v(x)\in W^{1,q_1}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k)$*,* $\bar{m}(x)\in L^{q_2}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ *and* $\varphi\in L^{q_3}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *be such that* $div_x\bar{m}(x)\equiv 0$ *in* Ω *and*

$$F\Big(0,0,\ldots,0,\{\nabla v,\bar{m},\varphi\},x\Big)=0\quad\text{a.e. in }\Omega\,.$$

Assume also that there exist Borel mappings $\{\nabla v\}^+(x):D\to\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}$*,* $\{\nabla v\}^-(x):D\to\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}$*,* $\bar{m}^+(x):D\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}$*,* $\bar{m}^-(x):D\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}$*,* $\varphi^+(x):D\to\mathbb{R}^m$*,* $\varphi^-(x):D\to\mathbb{R}^m$ *and* $\boldsymbol{n}(x):D\to S^{N-1}$ *such that for every* $x\in D$ *we have*

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)}\int_{B^+_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{n}(x))}\bigg(\Big|\nabla v(y)-\{\nabla v\}^+(x)\Big|^{q_1}+\Big|\bar{m}(y)-\bar{m}^+(x)\Big|^{q_2}+\Big|\varphi(y)-\varphi^+(x)\Big|^{q_3}\bigg)\,dy=0\,, \\ \lim_{\rho\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)}\int_{B^-_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{n}(x))}\bigg(\Big|\nabla v(y)-\{\nabla v\}^-(x)\Big|^{q_1}+\Big|\bar{m}(y)-\bar{m}^-(x)\Big|^{q_2}+\Big|\varphi(y)-\varphi^-(x)\Big|^{q_3}\bigg)\,dy=0\,.\quad(2.37)$$

Then for every $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,q_1}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k) \cap W^{(n+1),p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k)$*,* $\{m_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^{q_2}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}) \cap W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ *and* $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^{q_3}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ *satisfying* $div_x m_\varepsilon(x) \equiv 0$ *in* Ω*,* $\psi_\varepsilon(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ *for a.e.* $x \in \Omega$*,* $v_\varepsilon \to v$ *in* $W^{1,q_1}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k)$ *as* $\varepsilon \to 0^+$*,* $m_\varepsilon \to \bar{m}$ *in* $L^{q_2}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ *as* $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ *and* $\psi_\varepsilon \to \varphi$ *in* $L^{q_3}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$*, we have*

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int\limits_\Omega \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\bigg(\big\{\varepsilon^n\nabla^{n+1}v_\varepsilon,\, \varepsilon^n\nabla^n m_\varepsilon,\, \varepsilon^n\nabla^n\psi_\varepsilon\big\},\, \ldots\,,\big\{\varepsilon\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon,\, \varepsilon\nabla m_\varepsilon,\, \varepsilon\nabla\psi_\varepsilon\big\},\, \big\{\nabla v_\varepsilon,\, m_\varepsilon,\, \psi_\varepsilon\big\},\, x\bigg)\, dx$$

$$\geq \int_D \bar{E}^{(n)}_0\bigg(\{\nabla v\}^+(x), \bar{m}^+(x), \varphi^+(x), \{\nabla v\}^-(x), \bar{m}^-(x), \varphi^-(x), \boldsymbol{n}(x), x\bigg) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,, \quad (2.38)$$

where for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ *and any unit vector* $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\bar{E}^{(n)}_0\bigg(\{\nabla v\}^+, \bar{m}^+, \varphi^+, \{\nabla v\}^-, \bar{m}^-, \varphi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x\bigg) :=$$

$$\inf\Bigg\{ \underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\nabla^{n+1}\sigma_\varepsilon(y), \varepsilon^n\nabla^n\theta_\varepsilon(y), \varepsilon^n\nabla^n\gamma_\varepsilon(y)\Big\}, \ldots, \Big\{\nabla\sigma_\varepsilon(y), \theta_\varepsilon(y), \gamma_\varepsilon(y)\Big\}, x\bigg) dy :$$

$$\sigma_\varepsilon \in W^{1,q_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k) \cap W^{(n+1),p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k),\ \theta_\varepsilon \in L^{q_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}) \cap W^{n,p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}),$$

$$\big\{\gamma_\varepsilon : I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \to \mathcal{M}\big\} \in L^{q_3}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{n,p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)$$

$$\textit{s.t. } \operatorname{div}_y \theta_\varepsilon(y) \equiv 0,\ \nabla\sigma_\varepsilon(y) \to \sigma\big(y, \{\nabla v\}^+, \{\nabla v\}^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}\big) \textit{ in } L^{q_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}),$$

$$\theta_\varepsilon(y) \to \theta(y, \bar{m}^+, \bar{m}^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \textit{ in } L^{q_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}),\ \gamma_\varepsilon(y) \to \gamma(y, \varphi^+, \varphi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \textit{ in } L^{q_3}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m) \Bigg\}. \quad (2.39)$$

Here $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N :\ |y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2 \ldots N\}$ *where* $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *is an orthonormal base in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{\nu}$ *and*

$$\sigma\big(y, \{\nabla v\}^+, \{\nabla v\}^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}\big) := \begin{cases} \{\nabla v\}^+ & \textit{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} > 0\,, \\ \{\nabla v\}^- & \textit{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} < 0\,, \end{cases} \qquad \theta\big(y, \bar{m}^+, \bar{m}^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}\big) := \begin{cases} \bar{m}^+ & \textit{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} > 0\,, \\ \bar{m}^- & \textit{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} < 0\,, \end{cases}$$

$$\textit{and} \quad \gamma\big(y, \varphi^+, \varphi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}\big) := \begin{cases} \varphi^+ & \textit{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} > 0\,, \\ \varphi^- & \textit{if } y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} < 0\,. \end{cases} \quad (2.40)$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality we may assume that $\Omega = \big\{x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N :\ |x_j| < c_0\ \forall j\big\}$. for some $c_0 > 0$. Let $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,q_1}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k) \cap W^{(n+1),p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\{m_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^{q_2}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N}) \cap W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ and $\big\{\psi_\varepsilon : \Omega \to \mathcal{M}\big\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^{q_3}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $div_x m_\varepsilon(x) \equiv 0$ in Ω, $v_\varepsilon \to v$ in $W^{1,q_1}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^k)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, $m_\varepsilon \to \bar{m}$ in $L^{q_2}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ and $\psi_\varepsilon \to \varphi$ in $L^{q_3}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$. Clearly there exist $L(x'), L_\varepsilon(x') : \big\{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} :\ |x'_j| < c_0\ \forall j\big\} \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times(N-1)}$ such that $\operatorname{div}_{x'} L(x') \equiv \bar{m}_1(0, x')$ and $\operatorname{div}_{x'} L_\varepsilon(x') \equiv m_{\varepsilon,1}(0, x')$, where we denote by $\bar{m}_1(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\bar{m}'(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times(N-1)}$ the first column and the rest of the matrix valued function $\bar{m}(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}$, so that $\big(\bar{m}_1(x), \bar{m}'(x)\big) := \bar{m}(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}$, and we denote by $m_{\varepsilon,1}(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $m'_\varepsilon(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times(N-1)}$ the first column and the rest of the matrix valued function $m_\varepsilon(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}$, so that $\big(m_{\varepsilon,1}(x), m'_\varepsilon(x)\big) := m_\varepsilon(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times N}$. Then define $\Psi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $M_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times(N-1)}$ by

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(x) := \int_0^{x_1} \psi_\varepsilon(s, x')ds\,, \quad \Psi(x) := \int_0^{x_1} \varphi(s, x')ds\,, \quad M(x) := -L(x') + \int_0^{x_1} \bar{m}'(s, x')ds \quad \text{and}$$

$$M_\varepsilon(x) := -L_\varepsilon(x') + \int_0^{x_1} m'_\varepsilon(s, x')ds \qquad \forall x = (x_1, x') := (x_1, x_2, \ldots x_N) \in \Omega\,, \quad (2.41)$$

Then, since $div_x\bar{m}\equiv 0$ and $div_x m_\varepsilon\equiv 0$, by (2.41) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x_1}(x)=\varphi(x)\,,\quad \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_1}(x)=\bar{m}'(x)\,,\quad -div_{x'}M(x)=\bar{m}_1(x)\quad\text{for a.e. } x=(x_1,x')\in\Omega\,,\quad\text{and}$$
$$\frac{\partial\Psi_\varepsilon}{\partial x_1}(x)=\psi_\varepsilon(x)\,,\quad \frac{\partial M_\varepsilon}{\partial x_1}(x)=m'_\varepsilon(x)\,,\quad -div_{x'}M_\varepsilon(x)=m_{\varepsilon,1}(x)\qquad\text{for a.e. } x=(x_1,x')\in\Omega\,. \tag{2.42}$$

Therefore, the result follows by applying Theorem 2.2 to the functions $\{v,M,\Psi\}$ and to the sequence $\{v_\varepsilon,M_\varepsilon,\Psi_\varepsilon\}$.

□

3 Further estimates for the lower bound

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{A}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times N},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\boldsymbol{B}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\boldsymbol{P}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N},\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N},\mathbb{R}^k)$ be linear operators and let $F\in C^0(\mathbb{R}^k,\mathbb{R})$ be such that $F\geq 0$ and there exist $C>0$ and $p=(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_k)\in\mathbb{R}^k$ such that $p_j\geq 1$ for every j and $0\leq F(z)\leq C\big(|z|^p+1\big)$ for every $z\in\mathbb{R}^k$ (see Definition 2.2). Next let $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in S^{N-1}$ and let $\big\{m_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $m_0(x)\in L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ be such that $F\big(m_0(x)\big)=0$ for a.e. $x\in I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}m_\varepsilon=m_0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, where, as before, $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}:=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:\ |y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j|<1/2\quad\forall j=1,2\ldots N\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\boldsymbol{\nu}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_N\}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in $\mathbb{R}^N$, such that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1:=\boldsymbol{\nu}$. Furthermore, let $\bar{p}:=\max\limits_{1\leq j\leq k}p_j$, $\big\{v_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\big\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}v_\varepsilon/\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$. We suppose also that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\|P\|\nabla v_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$. Then there exist $\big\{r_\varepsilon\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset(0,1)$, $\big\{u_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C^\infty_c(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\big\{\psi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C^\infty_c(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}r_\varepsilon=1$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}u_\varepsilon/\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\|\boldsymbol{P}\|\,\nabla u_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}m_{(r_\varepsilon\varepsilon)}\big(r_\varepsilon x\big)=m_0(x)$ in $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ and*

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\bigg(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\bigg)dx$$
$$\geq\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\bigg(m_{(r_\varepsilon\varepsilon)}\big(r_\varepsilon x\big)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\bigg)dx\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Clearly we can assume

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\bigg(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\bigg)dx<+\infty\,, \tag{3.2}$$

otherwise it is trivial. Moreover, without any loss of generality we may assume that $\boldsymbol{\nu}=\boldsymbol{e}_1:=(1,0,0,\ldots,0)$ and $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}=I:=\big\{y=(y_1,y_2\ldots y_N)\in\mathbb{R}^N:\ |y_j|<1/2\quad\forall j=1,2\ldots N\big\}$. Furthermore, since, by mollification, we always can approximate v_ε and φ_ε by smooth functions, there exist $\big\{\bar{v}_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C^\infty$ and $\big\{\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C^\infty(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\bar{v}_\varepsilon/\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar{v}_\varepsilon=0$

in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar v_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$,

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Bigg\{F\bigg(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar v_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\bigg)$$
$$-F\bigg(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\bigg)\Bigg\}\to 0$$
$$\text{in } L^1_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R})\quad\text{as } \varepsilon\to 0^+\,,\tag{3.3}$$

and either $\boldsymbol{P}\equiv 0$ or $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\nabla\bar v_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{\bar p}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$.

Next consider $l(t)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ with the properties

$$\begin{cases}l(t)=1 & \text{for every } t\in(-\infty,\delta)\,,\\ l(t)\in[0,1] & \text{for every } t\in[\delta,1-\delta]\,,\\ l(t)=0 & \text{for every } t\in(1-\delta,+\infty)\,,\end{cases}\tag{3.4}$$

where $\delta\in(0,1/2)$. Clearly such a function exists. Then for every $0\le t<1/2$ define

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x)&:=\bar\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\times\prod_{j=1}^{N}\Big(l\big((x_j-t)/\varepsilon\big)\cdot l\big(-(t+x_j)/\varepsilon\big)\Big)\qquad\forall x\in\mathbb{R},\\ u_{\varepsilon,t}(x)&:=\bar v_\varepsilon(x)\times\prod_{j=1}^{N}\Big(l\big((x_j-t)/\varepsilon\big)\cdot l\big(-(t+x_j)/\varepsilon\big)\Big)\qquad\forall x\in\mathbb{R}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

Then for every $t\in[0,1/2)$ clearly $\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\in C^\infty(I^1,\mathbb{R}^m)$, $u_{\varepsilon,t}\in C^\infty(I^1,\mathbb{R}^d)$, where

$$I^s=\Big\{y=(y_1,y_2\ldots y_N)\in\mathbb{R}^N:\ |y_j|<s/2\quad\forall j=1,2\ldots N\Big\}\quad\forall s>0\,.\tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, for each such $t\in[0,1/2)$ we have

$$\begin{cases}\psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x)=\bar\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\ \text{ and }\ u_{\varepsilon,t}(x)=\bar v_\varepsilon(x)\quad\text{if for every } j\in\{1,2,\ldots,N\}\ \text{ we have } |x_j|<t\,,\\ \psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x)=0\ \text{ and }\ u_{\varepsilon,t}(x)=0\quad\text{if } |x_j|>t+(1-\delta)\varepsilon\ \text{ for some } j\in\{1,2,\ldots,N\}\,.\end{cases}\tag{3.7}$$

So, by (3.7) for small $\varepsilon>0$ we have $\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\in C^\infty_c(I^{t+\varepsilon},\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $u_{\varepsilon,t}\in C^\infty_c(I^{t+\varepsilon},\mathbb{R}^d)$. Next we will prove that for every $\tau\in(0,1/2)$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^\tau\int_{\cup_{j=1}^N\{x\in I^{t+\varepsilon}:\,|x_j|>t\}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\times$$
$$\times F\bigg(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,t}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,t}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\big)(x)\bigg)dx\,dt=0\,.\tag{3.8}$$

Indeed, fix $\tau_0 \in (\tau, 1/2)$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon < (\tau_0 - \tau)/2$ we have

$$\int_0^\tau \int_{\cup_{j=1}^N \{x \in I^{t+\varepsilon}:\, |x_j| > t\}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \times$$
$$\times F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,t}\}\big)(x) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,t}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\big)(x)\Big)dx\,dt \leq$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^\tau \int_{\{x \in I^{t+\varepsilon}:\, |x_j| > t\}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \times$$
$$\times F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,t}\}\big)(x) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,t}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\big)(x)\Big)dxdt \leq$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int\limits_{-\tau}^{\tau} \Bigg\{ \int\limits_{\{x: x \in I^{|t|+\varepsilon},\, -\varepsilon < x_j < \varepsilon\}} F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x + t\boldsymbol{e}_j) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|t|}\}\big)(x + t\boldsymbol{e}_j) +$$
$$\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|t|}\}\big)(x + t\boldsymbol{e}_j) + \big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|t|}\big)(x + t\boldsymbol{e}_j) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|t|}\big)(x + t\boldsymbol{e}_j)\Big)dx\Bigg\}dt \leq$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int\limits_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \Bigg\{ \int\limits_{I^{\tau+\varepsilon}} F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x + s\boldsymbol{e}_j) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j|}\}\big)(x + s\boldsymbol{e}_j) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j|}\}\big)(x + s\boldsymbol{e}_j) +$$
$$\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j|}\big)(x + s\boldsymbol{e}_j) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j|}\big)(x + s\boldsymbol{e}_j)\Big)dx\Bigg\}ds \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int\limits_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \Bigg\{ \int\limits_{I^{\tau_0}} F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j - s|}\}\big)(x)$$
$$+ \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j - s|}\}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j - s|}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j - s|}\big)(x)\Big)dx\Bigg\}ds\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus changing variables in (3.9) gives

$$\int_0^\tau \int_{\cup_{j=1}^N \{x \in I^{t+\varepsilon}:\, |x_j| > t\}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \times$$
$$\times F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,t}\}\big)(x) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,t}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,t}\big)(x)\Big)dx\,dt$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \int\limits_{-1}^{1} \Bigg\{ \int\limits_{I^{\tau_0}} F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j - \varepsilon s|}\}\big)(x)$$
$$+ \varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j - \varepsilon s|}\}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j - \varepsilon s|}\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j - \varepsilon s|}\big)(x)\Big)dx\Bigg\}ds\,. \tag{3.10}$$

Next, since either $\boldsymbol{P} \equiv 0$ or $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \nabla \bar{v}_\varepsilon = 0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$, clearly by (3.5) there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots N\}$ every $s \in [0, 1/2)$ and every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\int_{I^{\tau_0}} \Bigg(\Big|\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,s}\}\big)(x)\Big|^p + \Big|\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,s}\}\big)(x)\Big|^p + \Big|\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,s}\big)(x)\Big|^p + \Big|\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,s}\big)(x)\Big|^{\bar{p}}$$
$$+ \Big|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon,s}(x)\Big|^{\bar{p}} + \|\boldsymbol{P}\|\cdot\Big|\nabla u_{\varepsilon,s}(x)\Big|^{\bar{p}}\Bigg)dx \leq C_0 \int_{I^{\tau_0}} \Bigg(\Big|\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar{v}_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)\Big|^p + \Big|\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)\Big|^p +$$
$$\Big|\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar{v}_\varepsilon\big)(x)\Big|^p + \Big|\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon\big)(x)\Big|^{\bar{p}} + \Big|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\bar{v}_\varepsilon(x)\Big|^{\bar{p}} + \|\boldsymbol{P}\|\cdot\Big|\nabla\bar{v}_\varepsilon(x)\Big|^{\bar{p}}\Bigg)dx\,. \tag{3.11}$$

In particular since $0 < \tau < \tau_0 < 1/2$ were chosen arbitrary we deduce that for every $s \in [0, 1/2)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} u_{\varepsilon,s}/\varepsilon = 0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,s} = 0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,s} = 0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$,

$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,s}\}\big)=0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,s}\}\big)=0$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\|\boldsymbol{P}\|\,\nabla u_{\varepsilon,s}=0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$. Next, by (3.11) we deduce

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{I^{\tau_0}}\Bigg(\Big|\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}\}\big)(x)\Big|^p+\Big|\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}\}\big)(x)\Big|^p+\Big|\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}\big)(x)\Big|^p+\\ \Big|\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}\big)(x)\Big|^{\bar{p}}+\Big|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}(x)\Big|^{\bar{p}}\Bigg)dx=0\quad\text{uniformly by } s\in(-1,1)\quad\forall j=1,\ldots,N\,. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}m_\varepsilon=m_0$ in $L^p(I_{\tau_0},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $F\big(m_0(x)\big)=0$ for a.e. $x\in I_{\tau_0}$ and $0\leq F(z)\leq C\big(|z|^p+1\big)$. Therefore, by (3.12) for every $j=1,2,\ldots,N$ we deduce

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int\limits_{-1}^{1}\Bigg\{\int\limits_{I^{\tau_0}}F\bigg(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}\}\big)(x)\\ +\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}\big)(x)\bigg)dx\Bigg\}ds=0\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Then plugging (3.13) into (3.10) we deduce (3.8). Next let $\varepsilon_n\downarrow 0$ be such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}F\bigg(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_{\varepsilon_n}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_{\varepsilon_n}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_{\varepsilon_n}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_{\varepsilon_n}\big)(x)\bigg)dx\\ =\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\bigg(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\bigg)dx\,. \tag{3.14}$$

Then, since (3.8) is valid for every $\tau\in(0,1/2)$, we can pass to a subsequence, still denoted by $\varepsilon_n\downarrow 0$, so that for a.e. $t\in(0,1/2)$ we will have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\cup_{j=1}^N\{x\in I^{t+\varepsilon_n}:\,|x_j|>t\}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\times\\ \times F\bigg(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}\big)(x)\bigg)dx=0\,. \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore, by (3.3), (3.7) and (3.15), for a.e. $t \in (0,1/2)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\times\\
&\times F\Big(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_{\varepsilon_n}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_{\varepsilon_n}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_{\varepsilon_n}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_{\varepsilon_n}\big)(x)\Big)dx\\
&\qquad\geq\overline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\int_{I^t}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\times\\
&\times F\Big(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_{\varepsilon_n}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_{\varepsilon_n}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_{\varepsilon_n}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_{\varepsilon_n}\big)(x)\Big)dx\\
&\qquad=\overline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\int_{I^t}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\times\\
&\times F\Big(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}\big)(x)\Big)dx\\
&\qquad=\overline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\int_{I^{t+\varepsilon_n}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\times\\
&\times F\Big(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}\big)(x)\Big)dx\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.16}
$$

Thus, by (3.14), (3.7) and (3.16) for a.e. $t \in (0,1/2)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\underline{\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\Big)dx\geq\\
&\qquad\overline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}}\int_{I^{t+\varepsilon_n}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\times\\
&\times F\Big(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}\big)(x)\Big)dx\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.17}
$$

Since the last inequality is valid for a.e. $t \in (0,1/2)$, by diagonal arguments we deduce that there exists a new sequences $t_n \uparrow (1/2)$ and $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ such that $\varepsilon_n + t_n < 1/2$,

$$
\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{I^{t_n+\varepsilon_n}}\Big|m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)-m_0(x)\Big|^p dx=0\,,
\tag{3.18}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{I^{t_n+\varepsilon_n}}\Bigg(\Big|u_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}/\varepsilon_n\Big|^{\bar p}+\Big|\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\Big|^{\bar p}+\Big|\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\Big|^p+\Big|\varepsilon_n\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\}\Big|^p+\\
&\qquad\qquad\Big|\varepsilon_n\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\}\Big|^p+\|\boldsymbol{P}\|\Big|\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\Big|^{\bar p}\Bigg)dx=0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.19}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\underline{\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Big(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\Big)dx\\
&\qquad\geq\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{I^{t_n+\varepsilon_n}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\times\\
&\times F\Big(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon_n\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\big)(x)\Big)dx\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.20}
$$

On the other hand defining $\bar{u}_n(x) := u_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\big((2t_n+2\varepsilon_n)x\big)/(2t_n+2\varepsilon_n)$ and $\bar{\psi}_n(x) := \psi_{\varepsilon_n,t_n}\big((2t_n+2\varepsilon_n)x\big)$ we clearly have $\psi_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(I^1,\mathbb{R}^m)$, $u_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(I^1,\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, changing variables of integration $z = x/(2t_n+2\varepsilon_n)$ in (3.20) we finally deduce,

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\bigg(m_\varepsilon(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\varepsilon\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big)(x)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x)\bigg)dx$$

$$\geq \lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{I^1}\frac{2(t_n+\varepsilon_n)}{\varepsilon_n}F\bigg(m_{\varepsilon_n}\big(2(t_n+\varepsilon_n)z\big)+$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2(t_n+\varepsilon_n)}\big(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar{u}_n\}\big)(z)+\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2(t_n+\varepsilon_n)}\big(\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar{\psi}_n\}\big)(z)+\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar{u}_n\big)(z)+\big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar{\psi}_n\big)(z)\bigg)dz\,, \tag{3.21}$$

and (3.1) follows. Finally, since $m_0 \in L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, by (3.18) we deduce $\lim_{n\to+\infty} m_{(r_{\varepsilon_n}\varepsilon_n)}\big(r_{\varepsilon_n}x\big) = m_0(x)$ in $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, and by (3.19) we obtain $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\bar{u}_n/\varepsilon_n = 0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar{\psi}_n = 0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar{u}_n = 0$ in $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\big(\varepsilon_n\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar{u}_n\}\big) = 0$ in $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\big(\varepsilon_n\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\bar{\psi}_n\}\big) = 0$ in $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\|\boldsymbol{P}\|\,\nabla\bar{u}_n = 0$ in $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N})$. This completes the proof. $\square$

By the same method we can prove the following more general result.

Lemma 3.2. *Consider the linear operators* $\boldsymbol{A}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times N},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\boldsymbol{B}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\boldsymbol{D}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^r,\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\boldsymbol{P}_1\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N},\mathbb{R}^k)$,...,$\boldsymbol{P}_{n_1}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{n_1}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\boldsymbol{Q}_1\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N},\mathbb{R}^k)$,...,$\boldsymbol{Q}_{n_2}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{n_2}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{R}_1\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N},\mathbb{R}^k)$,..., $\boldsymbol{R}_{n_3}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{n_3}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ *for some* $n_1,n_2,n_3\in\mathbb{N}$ *and let* $F\in C^0(\mathbb{R}^k,\mathbb{R})$ *be such that* $F\geq 0$ *and there exist* $C>0$ *and* $p=(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_k)\in\mathbb{R}^k$ *satisfying* $p_j\geq 1$ *and* $0\leq F(z)\leq C\big(|z|^p+1\big)$ *for every* $z\in\mathbb{R}^k$. *Next let* $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in S^{N-1}$ *and let* $\big\{m_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ *and* $m_0(x)\in L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ *be such that* $F\big(m_0(x)\big)=0$ *for a.e.* $x\in I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ *and* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}m_\varepsilon=m_0$ *in* $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, *where, as before,* $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}:=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:\ |y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j|<1/2\quad\forall j=1,2\ldots N\}$ *and* $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\boldsymbol{\nu}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_N\}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ *is an orthonormal base in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, *such that* $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1:=\boldsymbol{\nu}$. *Furthermore, let* $\bar{p}:=\max\limits_{1\leq j\leq k}p_j$, $\big\{v_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\big\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)$ *and* $\big\{\gamma_\varepsilon(t)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}\big((-1/2,1/2),\mathbb{R}^r\big)$ *be such that* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}v_\varepsilon/\varepsilon=0$ *in* $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon=0$ *in* $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon=0$ *in* $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)=0$ *in* $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_1}\boldsymbol{P}_{n_1}\cdot\nabla^{n_1}\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_2}\boldsymbol{Q}_{n_2}\cdot\nabla^{n_2}\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_3}\boldsymbol{R}_{n_2}\cdot\nabla^{n_3}\{\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, *for every* $j=1,2,\ldots,n_1$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j}^{n_1}\|\boldsymbol{P}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^{j-1}\nabla^j v_\varepsilon\big)=0$ *in* $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^j})$, *for every* $j=0,1,\ldots,(n_2-1)$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_2}\|\boldsymbol{Q}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\boldsymbol{\nabla}^j\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^j})$ *and for every* $j=0,1,\ldots,(n_3-1)$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_3}\|\boldsymbol{R}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\boldsymbol{\nabla}^j\{\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^{\bar{p}}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^j})$. *Then there exist* $\big\{r_\varepsilon\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset(0,1)$, $\big\{u_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C_c^\infty(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\big\{\psi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C_c^\infty(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)$ *and and* $\big\{\lambda_\varepsilon(t)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset C_c^\infty\big((-1/2,1/2),\mathbb{R}^r\big)$ *such that* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}r_\varepsilon=1$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}u_\varepsilon/\varepsilon=0$ *in* $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon=0$ *in* $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon=0$ *in* $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\lambda_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)=0$ *in* $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}_{n_1}\cdot\nabla^{n_1}\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_2}\boldsymbol{Q}_{n_2}\cdot\nabla^{n_2}\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_3}\boldsymbol{R}_{n_2}\cdot\nabla^{n_3}\{\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\lambda_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, *for every* $j=1,2,\ldots,n_1$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j}^{n_1}\|\boldsymbol{P}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^{j-1}\nabla^j u_\varepsilon\big)=0$ *in* $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^j})$, *for every* $j=0,1,\ldots,(n_2-1)$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_2}\|\boldsymbol{Q}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\boldsymbol{\nabla}^j\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^j})$, *for every* $j=0,1,\ldots,(n_3-1)$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_3}\|\boldsymbol{R}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\boldsymbol{\nabla}^j\{\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\lambda_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\}\big)=0$ *in* $L^{\bar{p}}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^j})$,

$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} m_{(r_\varepsilon \varepsilon)}\big(r_\varepsilon x\big) = m_0(x)$ *in* $L^p(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\Bigg(m_\varepsilon(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \varepsilon^j \big(\boldsymbol{P}_j \cdot \nabla^j\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\big)(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \varepsilon^j \big(\boldsymbol{Q}_j \cdot \nabla^j\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} \varepsilon^j \big(\boldsymbol{R}_j \cdot \nabla^j\{\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\gamma_\varepsilon\}\big)(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x) + \big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\varphi_\varepsilon\big)(x) + \boldsymbol{D}\cdot\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\Bigg) dx \geq \\
&\qquad \varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\Bigg(m_{r_\varepsilon \varepsilon}\big(r_\varepsilon x\big) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \varepsilon^j \big(\boldsymbol{P}_j \cdot \nabla^j\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\}\big)(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \varepsilon^j \big(\boldsymbol{Q}_j \cdot \nabla^j\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon\}\big)(x) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} \varepsilon^j \big(\boldsymbol{R}_j \cdot \nabla^j\{\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\lambda_\varepsilon\}\big)(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x) + \big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\big)(x) + \big(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\psi_\varepsilon\big)(x) + \boldsymbol{D}\cdot\lambda_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\Bigg) dx\,. \qquad (3.22)
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Lemma 3.2 we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. *Consider the linear operators* $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{q\times N}, \mathbb{R}^r)$, $\boldsymbol{R}_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{r\times N^j}, \mathbb{R}^{r_j})$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \ldots n_1$, $\boldsymbol{P}_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^j}, \mathbb{R}^{d_j})$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \ldots n_2$, *and* $\boldsymbol{Q}_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j}, \mathbb{R}^{m_j})$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \ldots n_3$, *and let* $F \in C^0\big(\{\mathbb{R}^{r_{n_1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{r_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{R}^r\} \times \{\mathbb{R}^{d_{n_2}} \times \ldots \times \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^d\} \times \{\mathbb{R}^{m_{n_3}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^m\}, \mathbb{R}\big)$ *be such that* $F \geq 0$ *and there exist* $C > 0$ *and* $p \geq 1$ *satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 \leq F\Big(\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n_1}, a\}, \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n_2}, b\}, \ldots, \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n_3}, c\}\Big) \leq \\
&\qquad C\bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} |a_j|^{p_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} |b_j|^{p_2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} |c_j|^{p_3} + |a|^{p_1} + |b|^{p_2} + |c|^{p_3} + 1\bigg) \\
&\qquad\qquad \textit{for every } \Big(\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n_1}, a\}, \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n_2}, b\}, \ldots, \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n_3}, c\}\Big)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore let $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in S^{N-1}$, $\varphi^+, \varphi^- \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $V^+, V^- \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and* $W^+, W^- \in \mathbb{R}^r$ *be such that if we set*

$$\varphi_0(x) := \begin{cases} \varphi^+ & \textit{if } x\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ \varphi^- & \textit{if } x\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} < 0, \end{cases} \quad V_0(x) := \begin{cases} V^+ & \textit{if } x\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ V^- & \textit{if } x\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} < 0, \end{cases} \quad \textit{and} \quad W_0(x) := \begin{cases} W^+ & \textit{if } x\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ W^- & \textit{if } x\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} < 0, \end{cases} \qquad (3.23)$$

then

$$F\Big(\big\{0, 0, \ldots, W_0(x)\big\}, \big\{0, 0, \ldots, V_0(x)\big\}, \big\{0, 0, \ldots, \varphi_0(x)\big\}\Big) = 0 \quad \textit{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N\,. \qquad (3.24)$$

Next let $\big\{w_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1} \subset L^{p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^q)$, $\big\{v_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1} \subset L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$ *and* $\big\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1} \subset L^{p_3}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ *be such that* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi_0$ *in* $L^{p_3}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\} = W_0$ *in* $L^{p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^r)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\} = V_0$ *in* $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_3}\,\boldsymbol{Q}_{n_3}\cdot\{\nabla^{n_3}\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big) = 0$ *in* $L^{p_3}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{m_{n_3}})$, *for every* $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_2$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\boldsymbol{P}_j\cdot\nabla^j\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\big\}\big) = 0$ *in* $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d_j})$, *for every* $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_1$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\boldsymbol{R}_j\cdot\nabla^j\big\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\big\}\big) = 0$ *in* $L^{p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{r_j})$ *and for every* $j = 1, 2, \ldots, (n_3-1)$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_3}\|\boldsymbol{Q}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\,\nabla^j\varphi_\varepsilon\big) = 0$ *in* $L^{p_3}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j})$. *Here, as before,* $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : \ |y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \ \ \forall j = 1, 2\ldots N\}$ *where* $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *is an orthonormal base in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{\nu}$. *Finally assume that there exists a families* $\big\{h_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1} \subset C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^k)$ *and* $\big\{\gamma_\varepsilon(t)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1} \subset C^{n+1}\big((-1/2, 1/2), \mathbb{R}^k\big)$, *such that* $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla h_\varepsilon(x) \equiv z_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)$ *for some function* z_ε *(i.e.* $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla h_0(x)$ *depends actually only on the first real variable in the base* $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\}$*),* $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla h_\varepsilon(x) = V_0(x)$ *if* $|\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x| > c_0$, *where* $0 < c_0 < 1/2$ *is a constant,* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla h_\varepsilon\} = V_0$ *in* $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla_x\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\big\} = 0$ *in* $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, *for every* $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_2$ *we have* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\boldsymbol{P}_j\cdot\nabla^j\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla h_\varepsilon\big\}\big) = 0$ *in* $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d_j})$, *for every*

$j=1,2,\dots,n_2$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\boldsymbol{P}_j\cdot\nabla^j\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla_x\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d_j})$, for every $j=1,2,\dots,n_2$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j}^{n_2}\|\boldsymbol{P}_s\|\big)\varepsilon^{j-1}\nabla_x^j\big(v_\varepsilon(x)-h_\varepsilon(x)-\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^j})$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(v_\varepsilon(x)-h_\varepsilon(x)-\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)\Big)=0\quad in\ \ L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k).\tag{3.25}$$

Then there exist $\big\{\psi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset\mathcal{S}_2^{(n_3)}\big(\varphi^+,\varphi^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big)$, $\big\{u_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset\mathcal{S}_1^{(n_2)}\big(V^+,V^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big)$ and $\big\{f_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^q)$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_1^{(n)}\big(V^+,V^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big):=\bigg\{\xi\in C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^k):\ \ \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\xi(y)=V^-\ \ if\ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\leq-1/2,$$
$$\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\xi(y)=V^+\ \ if\ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\geq1/2\ \ and\ \ \boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\xi\big(y+\boldsymbol{\nu}_j\big)=\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\xi(y)\ \ \forall j=2,3,\dots,N\bigg\},\tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_2^{(n)}\big(\varphi^+,\varphi^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big):=\bigg\{\zeta\in C^{n}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^m):\ \ \zeta(y)=\varphi^-\ \ if\ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\leq-1/2,$$
$$\zeta(y)=\varphi^+\ \ if\ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\geq1/2\ \ and\ \ \zeta\big(y+\boldsymbol{\nu}_j\big)=\zeta(y)\ \ \forall j=2,3,\dots,N\bigg\},\tag{3.27}$$

such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\psi_\varepsilon=\varphi_0$ in $L^{p_3}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\}=V_0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\}=W_0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^r)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_3}\,\boldsymbol{Q}_{n_3}\cdot\{\nabla^{n_3}\psi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{m_{n_3}})$, for every $j=1,2,\dots,n_2$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\boldsymbol{P}_j\cdot\nabla^j\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d_j})$, for every $j=1,2,\dots,n_1$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\boldsymbol{R}_j\cdot\nabla^j\big\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{r_j})$, for every $j=1,2,\dots,(n_3-1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_3}\|\boldsymbol{Q}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\,\nabla^j\psi_\varepsilon\big)=0$ in $L^{p_3}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{R}_n\cdot\nabla^n\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\},\dots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{R}_1\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\},\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\}\Big\},\\
&\quad\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}_n\cdot\nabla^n\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\},\dots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}_1\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\},\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\Big\},\ \Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{Q}_n\cdot\nabla^n\varphi_\varepsilon,\dots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}_1\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon,\varphi_\varepsilon\Big\}\Bigg)\,dx\geq\\
&\qquad\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{R}_n\cdot\nabla^n\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\},\dots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{R}_1\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\},\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\}\Big\},\\
&\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}_n\cdot\nabla^n\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\},\dots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}_1\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\},\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\}\Big\},\ \Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{Q}_n\cdot\nabla^n\psi_\varepsilon,\dots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}_1\cdot\nabla\psi_\varepsilon,\psi_\varepsilon\Big\}\Bigg)\,dx\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Consider a function $\lambda(x)\in\mathcal{S}_2^{(n)}\big(\varphi^+,\varphi^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big)$, such that such that $\lambda(x)\equiv l_0(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)$ for some function l_0 (i.e. $\lambda(x)$ depends actually only on the first real variable in the base $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\boldsymbol{\nu}_2,\dots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_N\}$), and set $\lambda_\varepsilon(x):=\lambda\big(x/\varepsilon\big)$. Then clearly $\lambda_\varepsilon(x)\to\varphi_0(x)$ in $L^{p_3}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^m)$. Moreover clearly $\varepsilon^j\nabla^j\lambda_\varepsilon(x)\to0$ as $\varepsilon\to0^+$ in $L^{p_3}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j})$ for every $j\in\{1,2,\dots,n_3\}$ and $\varepsilon^j\nabla^j\lambda_\varepsilon(x)$ is bounded in L^∞ for every $j\in\{0,1,\dots,n_3\}$. Next define $\theta_\varepsilon(x):=\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\lambda_\varepsilon(x)$ and $\eta_\varepsilon(x):=v_\varepsilon(x)-h_\varepsilon(x)-\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)$. Then clearly $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\eta_\varepsilon/\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\theta_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{p_3}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\eta_\varepsilon\}=0$ in $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_2}\,\boldsymbol{P}_{n_2}\cdot\nabla^{n_2}\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\eta_\varepsilon\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{d_{n_2}})$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_3}\,\boldsymbol{Q}_{n_3}\cdot\{\nabla^{n_3}\theta_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_3}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{m_n})$, for every $j=1,2,\dots,n_2$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j}^{n_2}\|\boldsymbol{P}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^{j-1}\,\nabla^j\eta_\varepsilon\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^j})$ and for every $j=1,2,\dots,(n_3-1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_3}\|\boldsymbol{Q}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\,\nabla^j\theta_\varepsilon\big)=0$ in $L^{p_3}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j})$. Then by Lemma 3.2 there exist $\big\{r_\varepsilon\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset(0,1)$, $\bar{\theta}_\varepsilon(x)\in C^\infty_c\big(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^m\big)$, $\bar{\eta}_\varepsilon(x)\in C^\infty_c\big(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k\big)$ and $\bar{\delta}_\varepsilon(t)\in C^\infty_c\big((-1/2,1/2),\mathbb{R}^k\big)$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} r_\varepsilon=1$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\bar\eta_\varepsilon/\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\bar\theta_\varepsilon=0$ in $L^{p_3}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar\eta_\varepsilon\}=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\big\{\bar\delta_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\otimes\boldsymbol\nu\big\}=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_3}\,\boldsymbol{Q}_{n_3}\cdot\{\nabla^{n_3}\bar\theta_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_3}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{m_{n_3}})$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_2}\,\boldsymbol{P}_{n_2}\cdot\nabla^{n_2}\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla\bar\eta_\varepsilon\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{d_{n_2}})$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\varepsilon^{n_2}\,\boldsymbol{P}_{n_2}\cdot\nabla^{n_2}_x\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\big\{\bar\delta_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\otimes\boldsymbol\nu\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{d_{n_2}})$, for every $j=1,2,\ldots,(n_3-1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_3}\|\boldsymbol{Q}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\,\nabla^j\bar\theta_\varepsilon\big)=0$ in $L^{p_3}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j})$, for every $j=1,2,\ldots,n_2$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j}^{n_2}\|\boldsymbol{P}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^{j-1}\,\nabla^j\bar\eta_\varepsilon\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^j})$, for every $j=1,2,\ldots,n_2-1$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j}^{n_2}\|\boldsymbol{P}_s\|\big)\varepsilon^j\nabla^j_x\big(\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\big\{\bar\delta_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\otimes\boldsymbol\nu\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{d\times N^j})$, and

$$\begin{gathered}
\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{I_{\boldsymbol\nu}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{R}_n\cdot\nabla^n\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{R}_1\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\},\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\}\Big\},\\
\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}_n\cdot\nabla^n\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}_1\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\},\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla v_\varepsilon\}\Big\},\ \Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{Q}_n\cdot\nabla^n\varphi_\varepsilon,\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}_1\cdot\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon,\varphi_\varepsilon\Big\}\Bigg)\,dx=\\
\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{I_{\boldsymbol\nu}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{R}_n\cdot\nabla^n\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{R}_1\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\},\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla w_\varepsilon\}\Big\},\\
\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}_n\cdot\nabla^n_x\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla_x\big(h_\varepsilon+\eta_\varepsilon+\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\big)\big\},\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}_1\cdot\nabla_x\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla_x\big(h_\varepsilon+\eta_\varepsilon+\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\big)\big\},\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla_x\big(h_\varepsilon+\eta_\varepsilon+\gamma_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\big)\big\}\Big\},\\
\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{Q}_n\cdot\nabla^n\big(\lambda_\varepsilon+\theta_\varepsilon\big),\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}_1\cdot\nabla\big(\lambda_\varepsilon+\theta_\varepsilon\big),\big(\lambda_\varepsilon+\theta_\varepsilon\big)\Big\}\Bigg)\,dx\geq\\
\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{I_{\boldsymbol\nu}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{R}_n\cdot\nabla^n\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{R}_1\cdot\nabla\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\},\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\}\Big\},\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}_n\cdot\nabla^n_x\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\big(\nabla\bar h_\varepsilon+\nabla\bar\eta_\varepsilon+\bar\delta_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\otimes\boldsymbol\nu\big)\big\}\\
,\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{P}_1\cdot\nabla_x\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\big(\nabla\bar h_\varepsilon+\nabla\bar\eta_\varepsilon+\bar\delta_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\otimes\boldsymbol\nu\big)\big\},\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\big(\nabla\bar h_\varepsilon+\nabla\bar\eta_\varepsilon+\bar\delta_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\otimes\boldsymbol\nu\big)\big\}\Big\},\\
\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{Q}_n\cdot\nabla^n\big(\bar\lambda_\varepsilon+\bar\theta_\varepsilon\big),\ldots,\varepsilon\boldsymbol{Q}_1\cdot\nabla\big(\bar\lambda_\varepsilon+\bar\theta_\varepsilon\big),\big(\bar\lambda_\varepsilon+\bar\theta_\varepsilon\big)\Big\}\Bigg)\,dx\,, \qquad (3.29)
\end{gathered}$$

where $f_\varepsilon(x):=w_{r_\varepsilon\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon x)/r_\varepsilon$, $\bar h_\varepsilon(x):=h_{r_\varepsilon\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon x)/r_\varepsilon$ and $\bar\lambda_\varepsilon(x):=\lambda_{r_\varepsilon\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon x)$. Moreover, by the same Lemma, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\}=W_0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^r)$, and for every $j=1,2,\ldots,n_1$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\boldsymbol{R}_j\cdot\nabla^j\big\{\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\nabla f_\varepsilon\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{r_j})$. On the other hand clearly $\bar\lambda_\varepsilon(x)\in\mathcal{S}^{(n_3)}_2\big(\varphi^+,\varphi^-,I_{\boldsymbol\nu}\big)$ and $\bar h_\varepsilon(x)\in\mathcal{S}^{(n_2)}_1\big(V^+,V^-,I_{\boldsymbol\nu}\big)$ for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. Moreover, clearly there exists $\bar\gamma_\varepsilon(x)\in\mathcal{S}^{(n_2)}_1\big(0,0,I_{\boldsymbol\nu}\big)$, such that $\nabla\bar\gamma_\varepsilon(x)\equiv\bar\delta_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol\nu\cdot x)\otimes\boldsymbol\nu$. Thus since $\bar\theta_\varepsilon(x)\in C^\infty_c\big(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^m\big)$ and $\bar\eta_\varepsilon(x)\in C^\infty_c\big(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^k\big)$ there exist $\psi_\varepsilon(x)\in\mathcal{S}^{(n_3)}_2\big(\varphi^+,\varphi^-,I_{\boldsymbol\nu}\big)$ and $u_\varepsilon(x)\in\mathcal{S}^{(n_2)}_1\big(V^+,V^-,I_{\boldsymbol\nu}\big)$, such that $\psi_\varepsilon(x)\equiv\bar\lambda_\varepsilon(x)+\bar\theta_\varepsilon(x)$ for every $x\in I_{\boldsymbol\nu}$ and $u_\varepsilon(x)\equiv\bar h_\varepsilon(x)+\bar\eta_\varepsilon(x)+\bar\gamma_\varepsilon(x)$ for every $x\in I_{\boldsymbol\nu}$. So by (3.29) we deduce (3.28). On the other hand since $r_\varepsilon\to 1^-$ we easily obtain $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\psi_\varepsilon=\varphi_0$ in $L^{p_3}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\}=V_0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^{n_3}\,\boldsymbol{Q}_{n_3}\cdot\{\nabla^{n_3}\psi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_3}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{m_{n_3}})$, for every $j=1,2,\ldots,n_2$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\boldsymbol{P}_j\cdot\nabla^j\big\{\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\nabla u_\varepsilon\big\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{d_j})$, and for every $j=1,2,\ldots,(n_3-1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\sum_{s=j+1}^{n_3}\|\boldsymbol{Q}_s\|\big)\big(\varepsilon^j\,\nabla^j\psi_\varepsilon\big)=0$ in $L^{p_3}(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j})$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Next we have the following simple Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\big\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{\varepsilon>0}\subset W^{n_0,p}_{loc}\big(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^m\big)$ be such that $\varepsilon^{n_0}\nabla^{n_0}\varphi_\varepsilon\to 0$ in $L^p_{loc}\big(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^{n_0}}\big)$ and the sequence $\big\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^p_{loc}\big(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^m\big)$ i.e. for every compactly embedded open set $G\subset\subset I_{\boldsymbol\nu}$ there exists a constant $\bar C:=\bar C(G)>0$ such that $\int_G|\varphi_\varepsilon|^p dx\leq\bar C$. Then for every $j\in\{1,2,\ldots,n_0\}$ we have $\varepsilon^j\nabla^j\varphi_\varepsilon\to 0$ in $L^p_{loc}\big(I_{\boldsymbol\nu},\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j}\big)$.*

Proof. Indeed fix an arbitrary domain $U \subset\subset I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ with a smooth boundary. Then clearly

$$d_e := \int_U \Big(\big|\varepsilon^{n_0}\, \nabla^{n_0} \varphi_\varepsilon\big|^p \Big) \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0^+ . \tag{3.30}$$

Moreover clearly there exists $\bar{C} > 0$ such that $\int_U |\varphi_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq \bar{C}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 7.28 in [19] there exists $C_0 > 0$, which depends only on U p and n_0, such that for every $\sigma(x) \in W^{n_0,p}(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and every $\tau > 0$ we have

$$\big\|\nabla^j \sigma(x)\big\|_{L^p(U)} \leq \tau \big\|\sigma(x)\big\|_{W^{n,p}(U)} + C_0 \tau^{-j/(n-j)} \big\|\sigma(x)\big\|_{L^p(U)} \qquad \forall\, 2 \leq n \leq n_0 , \;\; 1 \leq j < n . \tag{3.31}$$

Thus in particular we deduce from (3.31) that there exists $C_1 > 0$, which depends only on U p and n_0, such that for every $\sigma(x) \in W^{n_0,p}(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and every $\tau \in (0,1)$ we have

$$\big\|\tau^j \nabla^j \sigma(x)\big\|_{L^p(U)} \leq \big\|\tau^{n_0} \nabla^{n_0} \sigma(x)\big\|_{L^p(U)} + C_1 \big\|\sigma(x)\big\|_{L^p(U)} \qquad \forall\, 1 \leq j < n_0 . \tag{3.32}$$

Then setting $\tau := \varepsilon \cdot (d_\varepsilon)^{-1/n_0}$, where d_ε is defined by (3.30), using (3.32) and the fact that $\int_U |\varphi_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq \bar{C}$ we obtain

$$\big\|\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\big\|_{L^p(U)} \leq \hat{C} d_\varepsilon^{j/n_0} \qquad \forall\, 1 \leq j < n_0 , \tag{3.33}$$

where $\hat{C} > 0$ dose not depend on ε. Thus using (3.30) we deduce

$$\big\|\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\big\|_{L^p(U)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0^+ \quad \forall\, 1 \leq j < n_0 ,$$

Therefore, since the domain with a smooth boundary $U \subset\subset I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ was chosen arbitrary, we finally deduce that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \big(\varepsilon^j\, \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon\big) = 0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{m\times N^j})$ for every $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n_0\}$. This completes the proof. □

Plugging Lemma 3.3 with the particular case of Proposition 3.1 we get the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k\times N\times N^n}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{l\times N^m}, \mathbb{R}^q)$ *be linear operators and let* $F \in C^0\big(\{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{k\times N^n} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{k\times N\times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{k\times N}\} \times \{\mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^{l\times N^{m-1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{l\times N} \times \mathbb{R}^l\}, \mathbb{R}\big)$ *be such that* $F \geq 0$ *and there exist* $C > 0$ *and* $p_1, p_2 \geq 1$ *satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{C}\Big(|A|^{p_1} + |B|^{p_2}\Big) \leq F\Big(\{A, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_{n-1}, c\}, \{B, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m-1}, e\}\Big) \leq \\ \leq C\Bigg(|A|^{p_1} + |B|^{p_2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j|^{p_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} |b_j|^{p_2} + |c|^{p_1} + |e|^{p_2} + 1\Bigg) \\ \text{for every } \Big(\{A, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_{n-1}, c\}, \{B, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m-1}, e\}\Big) . \tag{3.34}$$

Furthermore let $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in S^{N-1}$, $\varphi^+, \varphi^- \in \mathbb{R}^l$ *and* $V^+, V^- \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times N}$ *be such that* $V^+ - V^- = \boldsymbol{k} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}$ *and* $F\big(\{0, \ldots, 0, V^+\}, \{0, \ldots, 0, \varphi^+\}\big) = F\big(\{0, \ldots, 0, V^-\}, \{0, \ldots, 0, \varphi^-\}\big) = 0$. *Set* $\varphi(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^l)$ *and* $v(x) : Lip(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^k)$ *by*

$$\varphi(x) := \begin{cases} \varphi^+ & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0 , \\ \varphi^- & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0 , \end{cases} \qquad v(x) := \begin{cases} V^- \cdot x + (x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})\boldsymbol{k} & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \geq 0 , \\ V^- \cdot x & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0 . \end{cases} \tag{3.35}$$

Next let $\big\{v_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset W^{(n+1),p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$ *and* $\big\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1}\subset W^{m,p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^l)$ *be such that* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\varphi_\varepsilon=\varphi$ *in* $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^l)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}v_\varepsilon=v$ *in* $W^{1,p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, *and* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big\{(v_\varepsilon-v)/\varepsilon\big\}=0$ *in* $L^{p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, *where, as before,* $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}:=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:\ |y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j|<1/2\quad\forall j=1,2\ldots N\}$ *where* $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\boldsymbol{\nu}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_N\}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ *is an orthonormal base in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1:=\boldsymbol{\nu}$*. Moreover assume that,*

- *either* $\boldsymbol{P}=Id$ *or* $n=1$ *and*
- *either* $\boldsymbol{Q}=Id$ *or* $m=1$.

Then

$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\{\nabla^{n+1}v_\varepsilon\},\varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^n v_\varepsilon,\ldots,\varepsilon\nabla^2v_\varepsilon,\nabla v_\varepsilon\Big\},\Big\{\varepsilon^m\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\{\nabla^m\varphi_\varepsilon\},\varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^{n-1}\varphi_\varepsilon,\ldots,\varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon,\varphi_\varepsilon\Big\}\Bigg)dx\\ \geq E^{(n,m)}_{per}\Big(V^+,\varphi^+,V^-,\varphi^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}\Big). \tag{3.36}$$

where

$$E^{(n,m)}_{per}\Big(V^+,\varphi^+,V^-,\varphi^-,\boldsymbol{\nu}\Big)\ :=\\ \inf\Bigg\{\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{L}F\bigg(\Big\{L^n\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\{\nabla^{n+1}\xi\},L^{n-1}\nabla^n\xi,\ldots,L\nabla^2\xi,\nabla\xi\Big\},\Big\{L^m\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\{\nabla^m\zeta\},L^{m-1}\nabla^{m-1}\zeta,\ldots,L\,\nabla\zeta,\zeta\Big\}\bigg)\,dx:\\ L\in(0,+\infty)\,,\ \xi\in\mathcal{S}^{(n)}_1(V^+,V^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})\,,\ \zeta\in\mathcal{S}^{(n)}_2(\varphi^+,\varphi^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})\Bigg\}, \tag{3.37}$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)}_1\big(V^+,V^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big):=\bigg\{\xi\in C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^k):\ \ \nabla\xi(y)=V^-\ \ \textit{if}\ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\leq-1/2,\\ \nabla\xi(y)=V^+\ \ \textit{if}\ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\geq1/2\ \ \textit{and}\ \ \nabla\xi\big(y+\boldsymbol{\nu}_j\big)=\nabla\xi(y)\ \ \forall j=2,3,\ldots,N\bigg\}, \tag{3.38}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^{(m)}_2\big(\varphi^+,\varphi^-,I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big):=\bigg\{\zeta\in C^{m}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^l):\ \ \zeta(y)=\varphi^-\ \ \textit{if}\ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\leq-1/2,\\ \zeta(y)=\varphi^+\ \ \textit{if}\ \ y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\geq1/2\ \ \textit{and}\ \ \zeta\big(y+\boldsymbol{\nu}_j\big)=\zeta(y)\ \ \forall j=2,3,\ldots,N\bigg\}. \tag{3.39}$$

Proof. First of all without loss of generality we can assume $\boldsymbol{\nu}=\boldsymbol{e}_1:=(1,0,\ldots,0)$. Next it is clear that without any loss of generality we may assume

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\{\nabla^{n+1}v_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\nabla^2v_\varepsilon,\nabla v_\varepsilon\Big\},\Big\{\varepsilon^m\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\{\nabla^m\varphi_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon,\varphi_\varepsilon\Big\}\Bigg)dx<\infty\,. \tag{3.40}$$

Then by (3.34) and (3.40) we deduce that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^n\,\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\{\nabla^{n+1}v_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\big(\varepsilon^n\,\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\{\nabla^n\varphi_\varepsilon\}\big)=0$ in $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^q)$. Next remember we assumed that

- either $\boldsymbol{P}=Id$ or $n=1$ and
- either $\boldsymbol{Q}=Id$ or $m=1$.

Thus in any case, by Lemma 3.3 we deduce that for every $1 \leq j < n$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\nabla^{j+1}v_\varepsilon\big) = 0$ in $L^{p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{j+1}})$ and for every $1 \leq j < m$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\,\nabla^j\varphi_\varepsilon\big) = 0$ in $L^{p_2}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{l\times N^j})$.

Next consider $l_0(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ with the properties $\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} l_0(s)ds = 1/2$ and

$$\begin{cases} l_0(t) = 0 & \text{for every } t \in (-\infty, -1/2+\delta)\,,\\ l_0(t) \in [0,1] & \text{for every } t \in [-1/2+\delta, 1/2-\delta]\,,\\ l_0(t) = 1 & \text{for every } t \in (1/2-\delta, +\infty)\,,\end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

where $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$. Clearly such a function exists. Then set

$$h_\varepsilon(x) := V^- \cdot x + \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^{x_1/\varepsilon} l_0\big(s\big)\,ds\,\cdot\,\boldsymbol{k} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N\,. \tag{3.42}$$

Thus $h_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^k)$ and in particular

$$\nabla h_\varepsilon(x) := V^- + l_0\bigg(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\bigg)\Big(\boldsymbol{k}\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_1\Big) = V^- + l_0\bigg(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\bigg)\cdot\Big(V^+ - V^-\Big) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N\,, \tag{3.43}$$

$$\varepsilon^j\nabla^{j+1}h_\varepsilon(x) := l_0^{(j)}\bigg(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}\bigg)\cdot\Big(V^+ - V^-\Big)\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_1\otimes\ldots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N\ \forall j \geq 1\,. \tag{3.44}$$

Moreover by (3.41), (3.42) and (3.43) we obtain

$$\nabla h_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} V^- & \text{if } x_1 \leq -\varepsilon/2\,,\\ V^+ & \text{if } x_1 \geq \varepsilon/2\,,\end{cases} \qquad h_\varepsilon(x) = v(x) \text{ if } |x_1| \geq \varepsilon/2\,, \tag{3.45}$$

and by (3.44),

$$\nabla^{j+1}h_\varepsilon(x) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |x_1| \geq \varepsilon/2 \qquad \forall j \geq 1\,. \tag{3.46}$$

Therefore, by (3.42), (3.43), (3.44), (3.45) and (3.46) we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} h_\varepsilon = v$ in $W^{1,p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, for every $j \geq 1$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\{\varepsilon^j\nabla^{j+1}h_\varepsilon\} = 0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{j+1}})$, and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big\{(h_\varepsilon - v)/\varepsilon\big\} = 0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$. Thus, since we have $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big\{(v_\varepsilon - v)/\varepsilon\big\} = 0$ in $L^{p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$, then clearly $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big\{(v_\varepsilon - h_\varepsilon)/\varepsilon\big\} = 0$ in $L^{p_1}_{loc}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)$. So there exists a family $\big\{h_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^k)$, such that $\nabla h_\varepsilon(x) \equiv z_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x)$ for some function z_ε, $\nabla h_\varepsilon(x) = \nabla v(x)$ if $|\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot x| > c_0$, where $0 < c_0 < 1/2$ is a constant, and

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} h_\varepsilon = v \quad \text{in} \quad W^{1,p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)\,,\\ \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big(\varepsilon^j\nabla^{j+1}h_\varepsilon\big) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^{k\times N^{j+1}}) \quad \forall j \geq 1\,,\\ \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\big\{(v_\varepsilon - h_\varepsilon)/\varepsilon\big\} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}},\mathbb{R}^k)\,.\end{cases} \tag{3.47}$$

Then by applying Proposition 3.1 we obtain that there exist $\big\{u_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1} \subset \mathcal{S}^{(n)}_1\big(V^+, V^-, I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big)$ and $\big\{\psi_\varepsilon(x)\big\}_{0<\varepsilon<1} \subset \mathcal{S}^{(n)}_2\big(\varphi^+,\varphi^-, I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big)$ such that

$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\{\nabla^{n+1}v_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon,\nabla v_\varepsilon\Big\},\Big\{\varepsilon^m\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\{\nabla^m\varphi_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon,\varphi_\varepsilon\Big\}\Bigg)dx \geq$$

$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int\limits_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F\Bigg(\Big\{\varepsilon^n\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\{\nabla^{n+1}u_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\nabla^2 u_\varepsilon,\nabla u_\varepsilon\Big\},\Big\{\varepsilon^m\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\{\nabla^m\psi_\varepsilon\},\ldots,\varepsilon\nabla\psi_\varepsilon,\psi_\varepsilon\Big\}\Bigg)dx. \tag{3.48}$$

This completes the proof. □

Next by the composition of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 2.3 we obtain the following result describing the lower bound for the first order problems.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. Furthermore, let $F \in C^0\big(\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times N^{(n-1)}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}\big)$, be such that $F \geq 0$ and there exist $g(x) \in C^0\big(\mathbb{R}^N, (0,+\infty)\big)$ and $p \geq 1$ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{g(x)}|A|^p \leq F\Big(A, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b, x\Big) \leq g(x)\bigg(|A|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}|a_j|^p + |b|^p + 1\bigg) \quad \textit{for every } \big(A, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b, x\big)\,. \tag{3.49}$$

Assume also that for every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

$$F\big(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b, x\big) - F\big(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b, x_0\big) \geq -\tau F\big(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b, x_0\big)$$
$$\forall\, a_1 \in \times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n} \;\ldots\; \forall\, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times N} \;\; \forall\, b \in \mathbb{R}^m \;\; \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \;\; \textit{such that } |x - x_0| < \alpha\,. \tag{3.50}$$

Next let $\varphi \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $F\big(0, 0, \ldots, 0, \varphi(x), x\big) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Assume also that there exist a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ σ-finite Borel set $D \subset \Omega$ and three Borel mappings $\varphi^+(x) : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\varphi^-(x) : D \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{n}(x) : D \to S^{N-1}$ such that for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0^+} \frac{\int_{B^+_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{n}(x))} \big|\varphi(y) - \varphi^+(x)\big|^p\, dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)} = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{\rho\to 0^+} \frac{\int_{B^-_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{n}(x))} \big|\varphi(y) - \varphi^-(x)\big|^p\, dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)} = 0\,. \tag{3.51}$$

Then for every $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset \cap W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\varphi_\varepsilon \to \varphi$ in $L^p_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, we will have

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_\Omega F\bigg(\varepsilon^n\nabla^n\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\, \varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^{n-1}\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\, \ldots,\, \varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\, \varphi_\varepsilon(x),\, x\bigg)dx$$
$$\geq \int_D \bar{E}^{(n)}_{per}\Big(\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x), \boldsymbol{n}(x), x\Big)\,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,, \tag{3.52}$$

where

$$\bar{E}^{(n)}_{per}\Big(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, \boldsymbol{n}, x\Big) :=$$
$$\inf\Bigg\{\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{n}}} \frac{1}{L}F\bigg(L^n\,\nabla^n\zeta(y),\, L^{n-1}\,\nabla^{n-1}\zeta(y),\, \ldots,\, L\,\nabla\zeta(y),\, \zeta(y),\, x\bigg)\,dy : \;\; L \in (0,+\infty)\,,\; \zeta \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_{\boldsymbol{n}})\Bigg\}, \tag{3.53}$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)}\big(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_{\boldsymbol{n}}\big) := \bigg\{\zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) : \;\; \zeta(y) = \varphi^- \;\; \textit{if } \; y\cdot\boldsymbol{n} \leq -1/2,$$
$$\zeta(y) = \varphi^+ \;\; \textit{if } \; y\cdot\boldsymbol{n} \geq 1/2 \;\; \textit{and} \;\; \zeta\big(y + \boldsymbol{\nu}_j\big) = \zeta(y) \;\; \forall j = 2, 3, \ldots, N\bigg\}, \tag{3.54}$$

Here $I_{\boldsymbol{n}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : \; |y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \;\; \forall j = 1, 2 \ldots N\}$ where $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{n}$.

Thus by plugging Proposition 3.2 into Theorem 1.1 we deduce the Γ-limit result for the first order problem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. Furthermore, let $F \in C^1\big(\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times N^{(n-1)}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}\big)$, be such that $F \geq 0$ and there exist $g(x) \in C^0\big(\mathbb{R}^N, (0,+\infty)\big)$ and $p \geq 1$ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{g(x)}|A|^p \leq F\Big(A, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b, x\Big) \leq g(x)\bigg(|A|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}|a_j|^p + |b|^p + 1\bigg) \quad \text{for every } \big(A, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b, x\big)\,. \tag{3.55}$$

Assume also that for every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

$$F\big(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b, x\big) - F\big(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b, x_0\big) \geq -\tau F\big(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b, x_0\big)$$
$$\forall\, a_1 \in \times\mathbb{R}^{m\times N^n} \;\ldots\; \forall\, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times N} \;\; \forall\, b \in \mathbb{R}^m \;\; \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \;\; \text{such that } |x - x_0| < \alpha\,. \tag{3.56}$$

Next let $\varphi \in BV(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty$ be such that $\|D\varphi\|(\partial\Omega) = 0$ and $F\big(0, 0, \ldots, 0, \varphi(x), x\big) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then for every $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{n,p}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\varphi_\varepsilon \to \varphi$ in $L^p_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, we will have

$$\varliminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_\Omega F\bigg(\,\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \varphi_\varepsilon(x),\, \varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^{n-1}\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\, \ldots,\, \varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\, \varphi_\varepsilon(x),\, x\bigg)dx$$
$$\geq \int_{\Omega\cap J_\varphi} \bar{E}^{(n)}_{per}\Big(\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}(x), x\Big)\, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,, \tag{3.57}$$

where

$$\bar{E}^{(n)}_{per}\Big(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x\Big) \;:=$$
$$\inf\Bigg\{\int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \frac{1}{L} F\bigg(L^n\, \nabla^n\zeta(y),\, L^{n-1}\, \nabla^{n-1}\zeta(y),\, \ldots,\, L\,\nabla\zeta(y),\, \zeta(y),\, x\bigg)\, dy : \;\; L \in (0,+\infty)\,,\; \zeta \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})\Bigg\}\,, \tag{3.58}$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)}\big(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\big) := \bigg\{\zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) : \;\; \zeta(y) = \varphi^- \;\; \text{if } \; y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} \leq -1/2,$$
$$\zeta(y) = \varphi^+ \;\; \text{if } \; y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu} \geq 1/2 \;\; \text{and} \;\; \zeta\big(y + \boldsymbol{\nu}_j\big) = \zeta(y) \;\; \forall j = 2, 3, \ldots, N\bigg\}\,, \tag{3.59}$$

Here $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : \;\; |y\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2 \ldots N\}$ where $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Moreover, there exists e sequence $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\int_\Omega \psi_\varepsilon(x)dx = \int_\Omega \varphi(x)dx$, $\psi_\varepsilon \to \varphi$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ and we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_\Omega F\bigg(\,\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \psi_\varepsilon(x),\, \varepsilon^{n-1}\nabla^{n-1}\psi_\varepsilon(x),\, \ldots,\, \varepsilon\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(x),\, \psi_\varepsilon(x),\, x\bigg)dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega\cap J_\varphi} \bar{E}^{(n)}_{per}\Big(\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}(x), x\Big)\, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)\,. \tag{3.60}$$

A Notations and basic results about BV-functions

- For given a real topological linear space X we denote by X^* the dual space (the space of continuous linear functionals from X to $\mathbb{R}$).

- For given $h \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$ we denote by $\big\langle h, x^*\big\rangle_{X\times X^*}$ the value in $\mathbb{R}$ of the functional x^* on the vector h.

- For given two normed linear spaces X and Y we denote by $\mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ the linear space of continuous (bounded) linear operators from X to Y.

- For given $A \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ and $h \in X$ we denote by $A \cdot h$ the value in Y of the operator A on the vector h.

- For given two reflexive Banach spaces X, Y and $S \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ we denote by $S^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*;X^*)$ the corresponding adjoint operator, which satisfy
$$\big\langle x, S^*\cdot y^*\big\rangle_{X\times X^*} := \big\langle S\cdot x, y^*\big\rangle_{Y\times Y^*} \qquad \text{for every } y^* \in Y^* \text{ and } x \in X\,.$$

- Given open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ the real topological linear space of compactly supported $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued test functions i.e. $C_c^\infty(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with the usual topology.

- We denote $\mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d) := \big\{\mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^d)\big\}^*$ (the space of $\mathbb{R}^d$ valued distributions in G).

- Given $h \in \mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote $< \delta, h >:= \big\langle \delta, h\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(G,\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathcal{D}'(G,\mathbb{R}^d)}$ i.e. the value in $\mathbb{R}$ of the distribution h on the test function δ.

- Given a linear operator $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^k)$ and a distribution $h \in \mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote by $\boldsymbol{A}\cdot h$ the distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^k)$ defined by
$$< \delta, \boldsymbol{A}\cdot h >:=< \boldsymbol{A}^*\cdot\delta, h > \qquad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^k).$$

- Given $h \in \mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R})$ by $< \delta, h >$ we denote the vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which satisfy $< \delta, h > \cdot \boldsymbol{e} :=< \delta\boldsymbol{e}, h >$ for every $\boldsymbol{e} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- For a $p\times q$ matrix A with ij-th entry a_{ij} we denote by $|A| = \big(\Sigma_{i=1}^p\Sigma_{j=1}^q a_{ij}^2\big)^{1/2}$ the Frobenius norm of A.

- For two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{p\times q}$ with ij-th entries a_{ij} and b_{ij} respectively, we write $A : B := \sum\limits_{i=1}^{p}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q} a_{ij}b_{ij}$.

- For the $p\times q$ matrix A with ij-th entry a_{ij} and for the $q\times d$ matrix B with ij-th entry b_{ij} we denote by $AB := A\cdot B$ their product, i.e. the $p\times d$ matrix, with ij-th entry $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{q} a_{ik}b_{kj}$.

- We identify the $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ with the $q\times 1$ matrix A with $i1$-th entry u_i, so that for the $p\times q$ matrix A with ij-th entry a_{ij} and for $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ we denote by $A\,\boldsymbol{v} := A\cdot\boldsymbol{v}$ the p-dimensional vector $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, given by $u_i = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{q} a_{ik}v_k$ for every $1 \leq i \leq p$.

- As usual A^T denotes the transpose of the matrix A.

- For $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ we denote by $\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v} := \boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} := \sum\limits_{k=1}^{p} u_k v_k$ the standard scalar product. We also note that $\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{u}$ as products of matrices.

- For $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ we denote by $\boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}$ the $p \times q$ matrix with ij-th entry $u_i v_j$ (i.e. $\boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{u}\,\boldsymbol{v}^T$ as product of matrices).
- For any $p \times q$ matrix A with ij-th entry a_{ij} and $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by $A \otimes \boldsymbol{v}$ the $p \times q \times d$ tensor with ijk-th entry $a_{ij} v_k$.
- Given a vector valued function $f(x) = \big(f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)\big) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^k$ $(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N)$ we denote by Df or by $\nabla_x f$ the $k \times N$ matrix with ij-th entry $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$.
- Given a matrix valued function $F(x) := \{F_{ij}(x)\} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^{k \times N}$ $(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N)$ we denote by $div\, F$ the $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued vector field defined by $div\, F := (l_1, \dots, l_k)$ where $l_i = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial x_j}$.
- Given a matrix valued function $F(x) = \big\{f_{ij}(x)\big\}(1 \le i \le p,\, 1 \le j \le q) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ $(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N)$ we denote by DF or by $\nabla_x F$ the $p \times q \times N$ tensor with ijk-th entry $\frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$.
- For every dimension d we denote by I the unit $d \times d$-matrix and by O the null $d \times d$-matrix.
- Given a vector valued measure $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ (where for any $1 \le j \le k$, μ_j is a finite signed measure) we denote by $\|\mu\|(E)$ its total variation measure of the set E.
- For any μ-measurable function f, we define the product measure $f \cdot \mu$ by: $f \cdot \mu(E) = \int_E f \, d\mu$, for every μ-measurable set E.
- Throughout this paper we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set.

In what follows we present some known results on BV-spaces. We rely mainly on the book [4] by Ambrosio, Fusco and Pallara. Other sources are the books by Hudjaev and Volpert [39], Giusti [20] and Evans and Gariepy [18]. We begin by introducing some notation. For every $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in S^{N-1}$ (the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$) and $R > 0$ we set

$$B_R^+(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \,:\, |y - x| < R,\, (y - x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0\}\,, \tag{A.1}$$

$$B_R^-(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - x| < R,\, (y - x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0\}\,, \tag{A.2}$$

$$H_+(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : (y - x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0\}\,, \tag{A.3}$$

$$H_-(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : (y - x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0\} \tag{A.4}$$

and

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^0 = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \,:\, y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0\}\,. \tag{A.5}$$

Next we recall the definition of the space of functions with bounded variation. In what follows, $\mathcal{L}^N$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Definition A.1. Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and let $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. We say that $f \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ if

$$\int_\Omega |Df| := \sup\bigg\{ \int_\Omega \sum_{k=1}^{m} f_k \,\mathrm{div}\, \varphi_k \, d\mathcal{L}^N \,:\, \varphi_k \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)\ \forall k,\ \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\varphi_k(x)|^2 \le 1\ \forall x \in \Omega \bigg\}$$

is finite. In this case we define the BV-norm of f by $\|f\|_{BV} := \|f\|_{L^1} + \int_\Omega |Df|$.

We recall below some basic notions in Geometric Measure Theory (see [4]).

Definition A.2. Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Consider a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and a point $x \in \Omega$.

i) We say that x is a point of *approximate continuity* of f if there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_\rho(x)} |f(y) - z|\, dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)} = 0\,.$$

In this case z is called an *approximate limit* of f at x and we denote z by $\tilde{f}(x)$. The set of points of approximate continuity of f is denoted by G_f.

ii) We say that x is an *approximate jump point* of f if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in S^{N-1}$ such that $a \neq b$ and

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \frac{\int_{B^+_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{\nu})} |f(y) - a|\, dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)} = 0, \qquad \lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \frac{\int_{B^-_\rho(x,\boldsymbol{\nu})} |f(y) - b|\, dy}{\mathcal{L}^N\big(B_\rho(x)\big)} = 0. \tag{A.6}$$

The triple $(a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu})$, uniquely determined by (A.6) up to a permutation of (a, b) and a change of sign of $\boldsymbol{\nu}$, is denoted by $(f^+(x), f^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}_f(x))$. We shall call $\boldsymbol{\nu}_f(x)$ the *approximate jump vector* and we shall sometimes write simply $\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)$ if the reference to the function f is clear. The set of approximate jump points is denoted by J_f. A choice of $\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)$ for every $x \in J_f$ (which is unique up to sign) determines an orientation of J_f. At a point of approximate continuity x, we shall use the convention $f^+(x) = f^-(x) = \tilde{f}(x)$.

We recall the following results on BV-functions that we shall use in the sequel. They are all taken from [4]. In all of them Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and f belongs to $BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Theorem A.1 (Theorems 3.69 and 3.78 from [4])**.**

i) $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$*-almost every point in* $\Omega \setminus J_f$ *is a point of approximate continuity of* f*.*

ii) The set J_f *is a countably* $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$*-rectifiable Borel set, oriented by* $\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)$*. In other words,* J_f *is* σ*-finite with respect to* $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$*, there exist countably many* C^1 *hypersurfaces* $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ *such that* $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\Big(J_f \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k\Big) = 0$*, and for* $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$*-almost every* $x \in J_f \cap S_k$*, the approximate jump vector* $\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)$ *is normal to* S_k *at the point* x*.*

iii) $\big[(f^+ - f^-) \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_f\big](x) \in L^1(J_f, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1})$.

Theorem A.2 (Theorems 3.92 and 3.78 from [4])**.** *The distributional gradient* Df *can be decomposed as a sum of three Borel regular finite matrix-valued measures on* Ω*,*

$$Df = D^a f + D^c f + D^j f$$

with

$$D^a f = (\nabla f)\, \mathcal{L}^N \quad \text{and} \quad D^j f = (f^+ - f^-) \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_f \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner J_f\,.$$

D^a*,* D^c *and* D^j *are called absolutely continuous part, Cantor and jump part of* Df*, respectively, and* $\nabla f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m \times N})$ *is the approximate differential of* f*. The three parts are mutually singular to each other. Moreover we have the following properties:*

i) The support of $D^c f$ *is concentrated on a set of* $\mathcal{L}^N$*-measure zero, but* $(D^c f)(B) = 0$ *for any Borel set* $B \subset \Omega$ *which is* σ*-finite with respect to* $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$*;*

ii) $[D^a f]\big(f^{-1}(H)\big) = 0$ *and* $[D^c f]\big(\tilde{f}^{-1}(H)\big) = 0$ *for every* $H \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ *satisfying* $\mathcal{H}^1(H) = 0$*.*

Theorem A.3 (Volpert chain rule, Theorems 3.96 and 3.99 from [4]). *Let* $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^q)$ *be a Lipschitz function satisfying* $\Phi(0) = 0$ *if* $|\Omega| = \infty$. *Then,* $v(x) = (\Phi \circ f)(x)$ *belongs to* $BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^q)$ *and we have*

$$D^a v = \nabla\Phi(f)\,\nabla f\,\mathcal{L}^N,\; D^c v = \nabla\Phi(\tilde{f})\,D^c f,\; D^j v = \big[\Phi(f^+) - \Phi(f^-)\big] \otimes \boldsymbol{\nu}_f\,\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\llcorner J_f\,.$$

We also recall that the trace operator T is a continuous map from $BV(\Omega)$, endowed with the strong topology (or more generally, the topology induced by strict convergence), to $L^1(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\llcorner\partial\Omega)$, provided that Ω has a bounded Lipschitz boundary (see [4, Theorems 3.87 and 3.88]).

References

[1] F. Alouges, T. Rivire, S. Serfaty, *Néel and cross-tie wall energies for planar micromagnetic configurations*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **8** (2002), 31–68.

[2] L. Ambrosio, *Metric space valued functions of bounded variation*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **17** (1990), 439–478.

[3] L. Ambrosio, C. De Lellis and C. Mantegazza, *Line energies for gradient vector fields in the plane*, Calc. Var. PDE **9** (1999), 327–355.

[4] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco and D. Pallara, Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[5] P. Aviles and Y. Giga, *A mathematical problem related to the physical theory of liquid crystal configurations*, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ. **12** (1987), 1–16.

[6] P. Aviles and Y. Giga, *On lower semicontinuity of a defect energy obtained by a singular limit of the Ginzburg-Landau type energy for gradient fields*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **129** (1999), 1–17.

[7] Sergio Conti and Camillo de Lellis, *Sharp upper bounds for a variational problem with singular perturbation*, Math. Ann. **338** (2007), no. 1, 119–146.

[8] Sergio Conti and Ben Schweizer *A sharp-interface limit for a two-well problem in geometrically linear elasticity*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 179 (2006), no. 3, 413–452.

[9] Sergio Conti and Ben Schweizer *Rigidity and Gamma convergence for solid-solid phase transitions with* $SO(2)$*-invariance*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 59 (2006), no. 6, 830–868.

[10] S. Conti, I. Fonseca, G. Leoni *A* Γ*-convergence result for the two-gradient theory of phase transitions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), pp. 857-936.

[11] S. Conti and C. De Lellis, *Sharp upper bounds for a variational problem with singular perturbation*, Math. Ann. 338 (2007), no. 1, 119–146.

[12] C. De Lellis, *An example in the gradient theory of phase transitions* ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **7** (2002), 285–289 (electronic).

[13] A. DeSimone, S. Müller, R.V. Kohn and F. Otto, *A compactness result in the gradient theory of phase transitions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **131** (2001), 833–844.

[14] A. DeSimone, S. Müller, R.V. Kohn and F. Otto, *Recent analytical developments in micromagnetics*, In Giorgio Bertotti and Isaak Mayergoyz, editors, The Science of Hysteresis, volume 2, chapter 4, pages 269-381. Elsevier Academic Press, 2005.

[15] I. Fonseca and C. Mantegazza, *Second order singular perturbation models for phase transitions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 31 (2000), no. 5, 1121–1143 (electronic).

[16] I. Fonseca, C. Popovici, *Coupled singular perturbations for phase transitions*, Assymptotic Analisis **44** (2005), 299-325.

[17] L.C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. **19**, American Mathematical Society, 1998.

[18] L.C. Evans and R.F. Gariepy, Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.

[19] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1983.

[20] E. Giusti, Minimal Surfaces and Functions of Bounded Variation, Monographs in Mathematics, **80**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.

[21] A. Hubert and R. Schäfer, Magnetic domains, Springer, 1998.

[22] W. Jin and R.V. Kohn, *Singular perturbation and the energy of folds*, J. Nonlinear Sci. **10** (2000), 355–390.

[23] L. Modica, *The gradient theory of phase transitions and the minimal interface criterion*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **98** (1987), 123–142.

[24] L. Modica and S. Mortola, *Un esempio di* Γ^{-}*-convergenza*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B **14** (1977), 285–299.

[25] L. Modica and S. Mortola, *Il limite nella* Γ*-convergenza di una famiglia di funzionali ellittici*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A **14** (1977), 526–529.

[26] A. Poliakovsky, *A general technique to prove upper bounds for singular perturbation problems*, Journal d'Analyse Mathematique, **104** (2008), no. 1, 247-290.

[27] A. Poliakovsky, *Sharp upper bounds for a singular perturbation problem related to micromagnetics*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze. **6** (2007), no. 4, 673–701.

[28] A. Poliakovsky, *Upper bounds for a class of energies containing a non-local term*, , ESAIM: Control, Optimization and Calculus of Variations, **16** (2010), 856–886.

[29] A. Poliakovsky, *A method for establishing upper bounds for singular perturbation problems*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 341 (2005), no. 2, 97–102.

[30] A. Poliakovsky, *Upper bounds for singular perturbation problems involving gradient fields*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., **9** (2007), 1–43.

[31] A. Poliakovsky, *On a singular perturbation problem related to optimal lifting in BV-space*, Calculus of Variations and PDE, **28** (2007), 411–426.

[32] A. Poliakovsky, *On a variational approach to the Method of Vanishing Viscosity for Conservation Laws*, Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications, **18** (2008), no. 2., 429–451.

[33] A. Poliakovsky, *On the* Γ*-limit of singular perturbation problems with optimal profiles which are not one-dimensional. Part I: The upper bound*, preprint

[34] A. Poliakovsky, *On the* Γ*-limit of singular perturbation problems with optimal profiles which are not one-dimensional. Part II: The lower bound*, preprint

[35] A. Poliakovsky, *On the* Γ*-limit of singular perturbation problems with optimal profiles which are not one-dimensional. Part III: The energies with non local terms*, preprint

[36] T. Rivière and S. Serfaty, *Limiting domain wall energy for a problem related to micromagnetics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54 No 3 (2001), 294-338.

[37] T. Rivière and S. Serfaty, *Compactness, kinetic formulation and entropies for a problem related to mocromagnetics*, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003), no. 1-2, 249-269.

[38] P. Sternberg, *The effect of a singular perturbation on nonconvex variational problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **101** (1988), 209–260.

[39] A.I. Volpert and S.I. Hudjaev, Analysis in Classes of Discontinuous Functions and Equations of Mathematical Physics, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, 1985.